
Technical Advisory Committee

MEETING AGENDA

June 25, 2015 1:30 PM

Sonoma County Transportation Authority
SCTA Large Conference Room
490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 206
Santa Rosa, California 95401

ITEM

1. Introductions
2. Public Comment
3. Approval of Minutes, May 28, 2015* – **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
4. Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update – **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
5. Measure M **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
 - 5.1 Measure M Invoicing / Appropriation Status*
 - 5.2 Fiscal Year End Reminder Letter
 - 5.3 M Project Presentation Schedule to CAC
 - 5.4 Maintenance of Effort Report
6. Regional Information Update – **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
 - 6.1 Draft Federal Obligation Plan for FY2015/16*
 - 6.2 Federal Aid Obligations and Cultural Resources Reports*
7. Rail Update – **DISCUSSION**
8. Draft SCTA Board Meeting Agenda for July 13, 2015** **DISCUSSION**
9. Other Business / Comments / Announcements - **DISCUSSION**
10. Adjourn - **ACTION**

*Materials attached.

**Handout at meeting

Page 1 of 2

The next **SCTA** meeting will be held July 13, 2015
The next **TAC** meeting will be held on July 23, 2015

Copies of the full Agenda Packet are available at www.sctainfo.org

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability that requires the agenda materials to be in an alternate format or that requires an interpreter or other person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact SCTA at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

SB 343 DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OPEN SESSION AGENDAS: Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the **Technical Advisory Committee** after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the Sonoma County Transportation Authority office at 490 Mendocino Ave., Suite 206, during normal business hours.



Pagers, cellular telephones and all other communication devices should be turned off during the committee meeting to avoid electrical interference with the sound recording system.

TAC Voting member attendance – (6 Month rolling 2014/15)

Jurisdiction	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June
Cloverdale Public Works			√		√	√	
Cotati Public Works							
County of Sonoma DHS	√			√		√	
County of Sonoma PRMD							
County of Sonoma Reg. Parks	√	√	√	√	√	√	
County of Sonoma TPW		√	√	√	√	√	
Healdsburg Public Works		√			√		
Petaluma Public Works & Transit	√	√	√	√	√	√	
Rohnert Park Public Works	√	√	√	√	√	√	
Santa Rosa Public Works	√	√	√			√	
Santa Rosa Transit							
Sebastopol Public Works	√	√	√	√	√	√	
SMART			√		√		
Sonoma County Transit							
Sonoma Public Works	√	√	√	√	√	√	
Windsor Public Works	√	√	√	√	√	√	

SCTA TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting Minutes of May 28, 2015

1. Call to Order/Introductions

The meeting was called to order by Chair Kelly.

Members: Nancy Adams, Santa Rosa, Art Da Rosa, Rohnert Park, Stuart Hayre, Windsor, Jim O'Brien, Windsor, Dan Takasugi, Sonoma, Anthony Taylor, SoCo DHS, Elizabeth Tyree, SoCo Regional Parks, Steve Urbanek, SoCo TPW, Mary Jo Yung, Cloverdale, Larry Zimmer, Petaluma.

Guests: Robert Bregoff, Caltrans.

Staff: Brant Arthur, Chris Barney, James Cameron, Marge Fernandez, Seana Gause, Janet Spilman, Dana Turrey,

2. Public Comment

None.

3. Approval of Minutes, April 23, 2015

The minutes were approved as submitted.

4. Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update

Staff provided a presentation on the preliminary results of the 2015 Project Performance Assessment.

The next steps are to test the policy based scenarios, and present the results to the advisory groups and to the SCTA Board in July 2015.

Staff handed out the 2009 Project List for the jurisdictions to update if projects are completed.

Staff will email the presentation to the TAC.

5. Measure M

5.1. Measure M Invoicing / Appropriation Status

The updated status report of the Measure M invoicing and appropriation is included in the agenda packet. All projects have been cleared up with the exception of Santa Rosa. Staff will be talking with Santa Rosa to discuss their future programming.

6. Regional Information Update

6.1. SB 16 (Beall) State Legislation for Roadway Maintenance

Senate Bill 16 is being proposed for legislation that would create funding for road maintenance. Included in the agenda packet is the memo that went to the Legislation Committee from MTC staff, and the text of the proposed SB 16 bill.

6.2. One Bay Area Grant Cycle 2 Update

Included in the agenda packet is the MTC staff report that was in the agenda packet for the Local Streets and Roads Working Group meeting. The majority of the program will be very similar to the last program. They will be maintaining the PDA investment goals the same as the last time; 50% for the North Bay counties and 70% for the South Bay counties. They will be including the Safe Routes to Schools funding in the county distribution, and will be part of the overall pot, as will the Federal Aid Secondary Program (FAS) money. Sonoma county shares are reduced by 8% in cycle 2 as a result of adjustments and including RHNA in the distribution formula. The MTC Staff report also includes the OBAG 2 Tentative Development schedule. The proposal is still considered draft.



6.3. Federal Aid Training and Informational Needs

Included in the agenda packet is a spreadsheet that outlines the Federal-Aid Training Needs for the Bay Area.

6.4. FY2014-15 Federal FHWA Funds Obligation Status

This item was not on the TAC agenda but came out of the PDWG. The hand out includes the obligation status of all of the Federal programs in 2014-15; it provides a reminder that in 15-16 the Delivery Milestones change. If jurisdictions had programming after OBAG 1, or if they changed any programming after the original programming of OBAG 1 and now have programming in 15-16 or later they are now subject to the new delivery deadlines. This means that project sponsors have to have obligation by January 1, and the E-76 submittal is due by November 1.

7. Sales Tax Update

7.1. June 2, 2015 Special Election

Voting day is June 2.

8. Rail Update

SMART was able to get their FONZI for the Larkspur extension. SMART is very close on their NEPA for the Multi-Use Pathway. SMART will hold a presentation of Rail Safety for First Responders at various locations in June. The flyer for the Rail Safety for First Responders was handed out.

9. Draft SCTA Board Meeting Agenda for June 8, 2015

The draft SCTA Board meeting agenda for June 8, 2015 is included in the agenda packet.

10. Other Business / Comments / Announcements

11. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 2:45 PM.

Sonoma County Transportation Authority
Measure M Appropriation/Invoice Status Report
FY 14/15

Project Sponsor	Project Name	Measure M Program	Prior Apprp Balance	14/15 Amt Prog'd	14/15 Amount Apprp	Appropriation Date	Last Invoice Date	Balance Remaining	Notes
Santa Rosa	Hearn Avenue (Phase 1)	LSP	\$530,640	\$0	\$0	6/14/10	4/16/15	\$0	PROJECT COMPLETED
Santa Rosa	Hearn Avenue (Phase 3)	LSP	\$0	\$1,150,000	\$1,150,000	4/8/15	6/17/15		Invoice rec'd: No Match shown
Santa Rosa	Fulton Road Improvements	LSP	\$0	\$500,000	\$0				Coop going to SR council in July?
Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Creek Trail - Stmsd to Mission	Bike/Ped	\$0	\$0	\$375,000	12/9/13	11/24/14	\$55,852	
Santa Rosa	Access Across 101 Comm Conn	Bike/Ped	\$159,056	\$0	\$0	9/12/11	9/10/14	\$121,741	Inv not processed bc Coop needs amendment
SoCo DHS	SRTS (DHS)	Bike/Ped	\$8,168	\$26,000	\$42,000	12/8/14	1/20/15	\$42,000	timing of prev. approps allowed >2015 prog amount
SCBC	SRTS (SCBC)	Bike/Ped	\$797	\$19,000	\$19,000	2/9/15	6/11/15	\$9,647	
SCBC	BTW (SCBC)	Bike/Ped	\$1,511	\$15,000	\$15,000	2/9/15	3/6/15	\$14,970	
SoCo Regional Pks	Sonoma Schellville	Bike/Ped	\$57,262	\$0	\$0	10/19/09	5/11/15	\$42,421	
SoCo Regional Pks	Central Sonoma Valley Trail	Bike/Ped	\$0	\$85,000	\$15,000	4/13/15	4/1/15		\$0 SCTA 4/13; BOS 4/14; inv subm concurrently
SoCo Regional Pks	Bodega Bay Trail	Bike/Ped	\$0	\$300,000	\$200,000	4/13/15	4/1/15		SCTA 4/13; BOS 4/14; inv subm concurrently
Sebastopol	Street Smart Sebastopol	Bike/Ped	\$0	\$170,000	\$170,000	9/8/14	9/22/14	\$0	PROJECT COMPLETED
Healdsburg	Foss Creek Trail	Bike/Ped	\$474,000	\$0	\$0	9/8/14			Prog'd in FY13/14, approp'd in 14/15
Healdsburg	Foss Creek Trail	Bike/Ped	\$0	\$341,000	\$341,000	2/9/15		\$341,000	
Petaluma	Petaluma River Tr	Bike/Ped	-\$821,103	\$995,000	\$847,775	3/9/15	3/12/14	\$26,672	Invcs submttd against advanced funding. Balance s/b deoblig
SMART	NWPRR	Bike/Ped	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	6/8/15	4/30/15	\$668,915	
SMART	IOS Construction (Bond)	Rail	\$410,331	\$0	\$0	10/10/11	4/17/14	\$0	

June 12, 2015

Re: Measure M Reporting and Invoicing Requirements

Dear Measure M Recipients,

The current fiscal year is nearing completion and July 1, 2015 begins the next fiscal year (FY15/16). It also begins the reporting cycle for **the Local Streets Rehabilitation, Local Bus Transit, Local Street Projects, Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects, and Rail Programs** in Measure M. These programs all require completion of reporting letters to be submitted no later than September 15, 2015 (See Measure M Strategic Plan Policy 4.12).

If your jurisdiction is banking **Local Streets Rehabilitation** funds, please be advised that these funds can only be banked for three consecutive years before draw down must occur (See Measure M Strategic Plan Policy 4.1). If, for some reason draw down cannot be achieved, please provide a justification for why not, and a schedule for draw down of funds. Failure to provide justification will result in a withholding of LSR funds at the next quarterly payment cycle.

If your agency is receiving **Local Bus Transit** funds through Measure M, an annual audit is also required to be submitted showing how Measure M funds were expended (Measure M Strategic Plan Policy 4.15). Most transit agencies have this requirement for Transportation Development Act, Article 3 (TDA3) funding as well, thus the audit produced to comply with said requirement will also fulfill the Measure M policy, provided the audit shows expenditure of Measure M funds.

Finally, I would also like to remind you that as the fiscal year draws to a close, Measure M invoices for FY14/15 related to **Local Streets Projects, Rail Projects, or Bicycle Pedestrian Projects** Programs must be received or estimated before July 2, 2015. If preparing an invoice for the close of the fiscal year is infeasible, your jurisdiction can provide an invoicing estimate to your Project Development Manager (PDM) at the SCTA by the above deadline for estimating purposes. SCTA very much appreciates your cooperation with this requirement. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (707) 565-5372 if you have questions regarding the above listed requirements or policies.

Thank you again for your continued cooperation.

Sincerely,

Seana L. S. Gause
Project Delivery Manager
Measure M Coordinator
Sonoma County Transportation Authority
cc:



Staff Report

To: Sonoma County Transportation Authority – TAC and CAC
From: Seana L. S. Gause, Senior, Programming and Projects
Item: Measure M Project Update Schedule for FY 15/16
Date: June 25 & 29, 2015

Issue:

On what schedule will the Measure M LSP, Rail, and Bike/Ped Projects be presented to the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)?

Background:

The CAC is tasked with public oversight of the implementation of Measure M. The CAC review is meant to provide transparency of the project delivery process for the general public. As such, each fiscal year Measure M project sponsors programmed to receive Measure M funds are scheduled to come before the CAC and discuss the status of their projects. Specifically, how Measure M funds have been used on the project to date, how many dollars will be used in the future and for what purpose. Sponsors generally come before the CAC with a project status sheet, showing scope, schedule and cost of the project, matching funds being used (if any) and location of the proposed project.

Below is a proposed schedule for the Measure M projects to be brought before the CAC for review:

Project Name	Project Sponsor	Meeting Date
Arnold Drive	TPW	July 27, 2015
Forestville Bypass (Roundabout)	TPW	July 27, 2015
116/121 Interchange	SCTA	August 31, 2015
Airport Blvd I/C	SCTA	August 31, 2015
Hearn Avenue I/C	Santa Rosa	September 28, 2015
Fulton Road	Santa Rosa	September 28, 2015
Santa Rosa Creek Tr	Santa Rosa	September 28, 2015
Access Across 101	Santa Rosa	September 28, 2015
SMART	SMART	October 26, 2015
NWPRR Tr	SMART	October 26, 2015
Bicycle Safety and Education	SCBC	November 30, 2015
Bicycle Safety and Education	SC DHS	November 30, 2015
--No Meeting--	-----	December 2015
Foss Creek Tr	Healdsburg	January 25, 2016
Bodega Bay Tr	SC RP/TPW	February 29, 2016
Central Sonoma Valley Tr.	SC RP/TPW	February 29, 2016
Sonoma Schellville Tr	SCRIP	February 29, 2016

There are no meetings scheduled in December.

Policy Impacts:

None, this action is consistent with policy 4.11 in the 2014 Measure M Strategic Plan.

Fiscal Impacts:

None

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the TAC and CAC review and consider approving the above listed schedule.

Staff Report

To: Sonoma County Transportation Authority: Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) & Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC)

From: James R. Cameron, Deputy Director of Projects & Programming

Item: Measure M - Maintenance of Effort -FY13/14 -Policy 14 and PUC Compliance

Date: TAC: June 25, 2015 & CAC: June 29th

Issue:

Is SCTA in conformance with Public Utilities Code 180200 and Measure M Policy 14 Maintenance of Effort (MOE)?

Background:

The Traffic Relief Act for Sonoma County, Measure M, is governed by the Public Utilities Code. PUC 180200 requires that "local governments maintain their existing commitment of local funds for transportation purposes". The PUC does not specify how an existing commitment must be measured, in order to ensure compliance with the requirement.

Until 2010, Sonoma County jurisdictions received Proposition 42 funds, which had specific MOE requirements. Since the Prop 42 requirements were more stringent than Measure M, there seemed little need for a Measure M policy to address maintenance of effort. Once Proposition 42 funds ended, the SCTA acted to implement its own MOE policy. The SCTA board approved Measure M Policy 14 on July 11, 2011 after Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) review.

Policy 14 (attached) requires that jurisdictions report the amount of local transportation funding, as a percentage of that jurisdiction's overall general fund spending. By analyzing the commitment as a percentage of general funds, as opposed to the actual amount of transportation funding, the policy considers the possibility that transportation spending may go down, if there is a decrease in general fund spending. However, if the general fund increases, transportation funding would be expected to be increased by the same percentage.

The baseline percentage was set for FY 2011/12, since it was the year the policy was enacted. In May of 2014 the SCTA board accepted the recommendation from the TAC & CAC and determined all jurisdictions were in conformance based on the submitted FY 12/13 reporting. SCTA staff has now received FY 13/14 reporting from all Measure M Local Street Rehabilitation (LSR) Program recipients. A summary of that reporting is shown in the attached table.

Countywide, the commitment of transportation funding increased both in overall dollars and as a percentage of cumulative general funds for the second year in a row. Eight of ten jurisdiction maintained or increased their individual percentage commitment of local funds for transportations purposes between FY11/12 baseline and FY13/14. The City of Sebastopol and the Town of Windsor decreased their percentage of transportation funding. However, the County as a whole increased spending by 1.8% (\$12,121,651) over FY 12/13 and 3.7% (\$24,742,872) over the FY11/12 baseline.

The PUC does not state that the commitment must be calculated as a percentage of the general fund or that it be met annually. Policy 14 requires that each jurisdiction provide reporting, but it does not state that each jurisdiction's individual commitment must be maintained. Since many small jurisdictions need to "bank" transportation funding for several years in order to deliver a reasonably sized project, a single year's baseline figure can easily be skewed, based on whether the baseline year contained a large transportation project. Additionally, Policy 14 does not specify consequences for a jurisdiction that does not individually meet their baseline figure. Finally, although the Traffic Relief Act of Sonoma County requires that local governments maintain their existing commitment of local funds for transportation purposes, it does not state whether the commitment must be maintained individually by each jurisdiction, or collectively.

Given that all jurisdictions met the reporting requirements of Policy 14 and that collectively the commitment of transportation funding has increased, both in actual dollars and as a percentage of overall general fund spending, the TAC and CAC should consider recommending to the Board that SCTA is in compliance, with the PUC, the Traffic Relief Act of Sonoma County, and Measure M Policy 14.

Policy Impacts:

This is an interpretation of the Measure M Strategic Plan Policy 14 that would allow for individual jurisdictions to not meet their baseline MOE commitment, provided that collectively SCTA jurisdictions meet or exceed the baseline MOE commitment. This interpretation was previously approved by the SCTA board in May of 2014.

Fiscal Impacts:

Consequences of determining that individual jurisdictions must maintain their baseline contribution to transportation could result in a suspension of a portion of the Measures M Local Street Rehabilitation (LSR) allocations to those jurisdictions, until contributions are brought back to FY 11/12 baseline levels. Estimates of FY 15/16 allocations are shown in the attached table.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the TAC and CAC consider recommending to the Board that SCTA is in compliance with the Public Utilities Code Section 180200, the Traffic Relief Act of Sonoma County, and Measure M Policy 14.

MEASURE M - STRATEGIC PLAN POLICY 14

The Traffic Relief Act for Sonoma County is governed by the Public Utilities Code. PUC 180200 requires that "local governments maintain their existing commitment of local funds for transportation purposes." The Measure M Expenditure Plan states "consistent with California Public Utilities Code Section 180200, the SCTA intends that the additional funds provided governmental agencies by the Traffic Relief Act for Sonoma County shall supplement existing local revenues being used for public transportation purposes and that local jurisdictions maintain their existing commitment of local funds for transportation purposes." Measure M cooperative agreements for the Local Streets Rehabilitation Program also require maintenance of effort.

For the Local Streets Rehabilitation Program funding, each local agency shall be responsible for identifying which of their accounts have local funds for transportation purposes. For these purposes, expenditures would be calculated per fiscal year. A fiscal year is defined as July 1 through June 30. The baseline amount is transportation fund expenditures in FY11/12 which will be converted to percentage of general fund expenditure. Expenditures for each subsequent year will be compared to the baseline to determine the same percentage of general fund expenditures is occurring. Baseline percentages (FY11/12) and subsequent year percentages of discretionary fund expenditures on transportation shall be provided to SCTA by each jurisdiction no later than February 15, starting in February 2013. This is to allow agency audits to be completed prior to submittal.

Seana Gause

From: Adam Crenshaw [ACrenshaw@mtc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2015 10:52 AM
To: Jacki Taylor; vbhat@alamedactc.org; Stephanie Hu (StephanieH@ccta.net); dchan@tam.ca.gov; dschmitz@nctpa.net; Seon Joo Kim; jhigaki@smcgov.org; Hough, Bill; Fiore, Celeste; Anthony Adams; Robert Guerrero; Seana Gause
Cc: Ross McKeown; Kenneth Kao; Marcella Aranda
Subject: Draft FY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan for Review
Attachments: Draft FY2014-15 to FY2016-17 STP-CMAQ Obligation Plan.xlsx; Draft FY2015-16 Federal RTIP Obligation Plan.xlsx; Draft FY2015-16 Local Safety Program Obligation Plan.xlsx

Greetings CMA Programming Staff

It is time again to develop the Annual Obligation Plan for FHWA-administered funds. Under the revised Regional Project Delivery Policy Guidance (MTC Resolution 3606) MTC is to develop the annual plan in coordination with the CMAs and project sponsors at the beginning of each federal fiscal year – which will soon be upon us.

Attached for review by CMAs and project sponsors is the Draft FFY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plans for federally programmed FHWA-funded projects. This year the Draft FFY 2015-16 obligation plan includes all Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program, federal Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), and Local Safety Program funds (HSIP and SRTS) funds.

Project Schedule Information

This year we are also asking CMAs and project sponsors to include the following schedule information for each project in the attached spreadsheets:

1. The date (or anticipated date) of the field review
2. The number of months allotted for the completion of cultural resource studies in the project schedule

If we do not receive this information for a project, that project will not be included in the obligation plan.

Please refer to the attached listings and note that the review steps are slightly different for STP/CMAQ and the Local Safety Programs.

FFY 2015-16 STP/CMAQ

For STP/CMAQ funded projects, the Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) and sponsors are requested to review and confirm that the projects in the attached STP/CMAQ listing are correctly programmed. Per the Regional Project Delivery Policy (Resolution 3606, Revised), for STP/CMAQ funds listed in FY 2015-16 of the TIP, the project sponsor must submit a complete Request For Authorization (RFA) or FTA transfer request to Caltrans Local Assistance by November 1, 2015 and receive the federal authorization to proceed (E-76 approval) or transfer to FTA by January 31, 2016. Project funding that cannot meet these deadlines should be moved to FFY 2016-17.

STP/CMAQ funds listed in FFY 2015-16 of the TIP have priority for funding from October 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016. After January 31, 2016, any project may be advanced from future years and apportionment and OA will be assigned on a first-come first-served basis based on availability of funding.

For FFY 2015-16, development of the annual obligation plan for regional STP/CMAQ funds will be rather challenging. Estimated apportionments for the OBAG 1 STP/CMAQ program were higher than actually provided under the current federal authorization - Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP 21). The region now finds itself with more projects seeking delivery than there is funding available to meet this need. FFY 2015-16 apportionment is not expected

to be available after February 1, 2016. By FFY 2016-17 program amounts should be more in line with actual apportionments.

We request that changes to the STP/CMAQ listing be submitted to Adam Crenshaw (acrenshaw@mtc.ca.gov) **no later than Wednesday July 15, 2015**. Please submit your changes directly on the attached spreadsheet and email the file with your adjustments highlighted with applicable comments.

FFY 2015-16 Federal RTIP

For Federal RTIP funded projects, CMAs and sponsors are requested to review and confirm that the projects in the attached STP/CMAQ listing are correctly programmed. Per the Regional Project Delivery Policy (Resolution 3606, Revised), for Federal RTIP funds listed in FY 2015-16 of the TIP, the project sponsor must submit a complete Request For Authorization (RFA) or FTA transfer request to Caltrans Local Assistance by November 1, 2015 and receive the federal authorization to proceed (E-76 approval) or transfer to FTA by January 31, 2016. For project funding that cannot meet these deadlines CMAs and sponsors should request that the project be removed from the obligation plan.

We request that changes to the Federal RTIP listing be submitted to Kenneth Kao (kkao@mtc.ca.gov) **no later than Wednesday July 15, 2015**. Please submit your changes directly on the attached spreadsheet and email the file with your adjustments highlighted with applicable comments.

FFY 15/16 Federal Local Safety Programs (HSIP and SRTS)

For the federal local safety programs (HSIP and SRTS), CMAs and sponsors are requested to: 1) Review and confirm that the projects in the attached Local Safety Programs list are listed correctly and 2) Confirm that the listed projects can meet the deadlines shown. For additional program status and delivery information, please refer to the Caltrans Safety Programs Delivery Status Reports resource page: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/HSIP/delivery_status.htm. For project funding that cannot meet these deadlines CMAs and sponsors should request that the project be removed from the obligation plan.

We request that changes to the listing be submitted to Marcella Aranda (maranda@mtc.ca.gov) **no later than Wednesday July 15, 2015**. Please submit your changes directly on the attached sheets and email the file with your adjustments highlighted and with applicable comments.

MTC strongly encourages any local jurisdiction intending to go out to construction in the 2016 construction season to submit their E-76 request to Caltrans well before the applicable deadline to ensure there is sufficient federal obligation authority at the time of obligation, and to secure better bid results.

The results of this effort will be presented at the July Partnership Technical Advisory Committee meeting.

If the CMA does not wish to coordinate the effort with your applicable project sponsors, please reply to me letting me know by the end of the day on Friday, June 19, and MTC Staff will then coordinate this effort for the project sponsors in your county.

For questions regarding the overall FFY 2015-16 FHWA Annual Obligation Plan, please contact Adam Crenshaw at acrenshaw@mtc.ca.gov.

Attachment 1 – Draft FY2014-15 to FY2016-17 STP/CMQ Obligation Plan
Attachment 2 – Draft FY 2015-16 Federal RTIP Obligation Plan
Attachment 3 – Draft FY 2015-16 Local Safety Programs Obligation Plan

Adam Crenshaw

Programming and Allocations
Metropolitan Transportation Commission
www.mtc.ca.gov
510-817-5794 (work)
510-817-5848 (fax)

Attachment 1 STP/CMAQ
FFY 2014-15 through FFY 2016-17

For purposes of developing the draft FFY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan

FFY 2015-16 Deadlines: RFA Submittal - November 1, 2015; Obligation (E-76)/Transfer to FTA - January 31, 2016

June 2, 2015

County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Phase	Fund Code	FFY 2014-15		FFY 2015-16		FFY 2016-17		Date or Anticipated Date of Field Review	Number of Months Allotted for Completion of Cultural Resource	COMMENTS
						CMAQ	STP	CMAQ	STP	CMAQ	STP			
						\$542,937	\$5,891,000	\$1,426,130	\$8,570,000	\$1,142,000	\$5,787,336			
Sonoma	Cloverdale	Cloverdale - Safe Routes to School Phase 2	SON130016	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG				\$250,000					
Sonoma	Cotati	Cotati - Old Redwood Highway S. Preservation	SON130008	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG		\$250,000							
Sonoma	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Pedestrian Safety and Access Improvmnts	SON110054	CON	CMAQ-T4-1-LIFE	\$202,937								
Sonoma	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Various Streets & Roads Rehabilitation	SON130005	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG		\$250,000							
Sonoma	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Sonoma	SON090008	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL				\$720,000					
Sonoma	Petaluma	Petaluma Complete Streets	SON130002	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG		\$1,609,000							
Sonoma	Petaluma	Petaluma Transit: Transit Signal Priority System	SON130020	PSE	STP-T4-2-TCP-TPI-REG						\$58,174			
Sonoma	Petaluma	Petaluma Transit: Transit Signal Priority System	SON130020	CON	STP-T4-2-TCP-TPI-REG						\$77,506			
Sonoma	Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park Streetscape and Pedestrian Imps	SON130007	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG			\$500,000						
Sonoma	Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park Various Streets Preservation	SON130009	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG		\$1,103,000							
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Downtown Santa Rosa Streetscape	SON130006	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG			\$234,000						
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Cmpl't Sts Road Diet on Transit Corridor	SON130017	ROW	STP-T4-2-OBAG						\$100,000			
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Cmpl't Sts Road Diet on Transit Corridor	SON130017	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG				\$300,000					
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa Cmpl't Sts Road Diet on Transit Corridor	SON130017	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG						\$2,060,000			
Sonoma	SCTA	Santa Rosa Car Share	SON150010	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-CPI-REG			\$170,130						
Sonoma	Sebastopol	Sebastopol Various Streets and Roads Preservation	SON130004	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG		\$250,000							
Sonoma	SMART	Sonoma Marin Area Rail Corridor	SON090002	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG				\$6,600,000					
Sonoma	Son Co Reg Park	Central Sonoma Valley Trail	SON110050	CON	CMAQ-T4-1-LIFE	\$340,000								
Sonoma	Sonoma City	Sonoma Various Streets and Roads Preservation	SON130011	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG		\$250,000							
Sonoma	Sonoma County	Bodega Highway Pavement Rehabilitation	SON130015	CON	STP-T4-2-PCA-REG				\$1,000,000					
Sonoma	Sonoma County	PDA Planning - Airport Station/Specific Plan Amend	SON150002	PE	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL		\$350,000							
Sonoma	Sonoma County	PDA Planning - Springs Area Plan	SON150001	PE	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL		\$450,000							
Sonoma	Sonoma County	Sonoma County - Safe Routes to School Program	SON130014	CON	STP-T4-2-RSRTS-REG		\$1,379,000							
Sonoma	Sonoma County	Sonoma County Various Streets & Roads Preservation	SON130010	CON	STP-T4-2-OBAG						\$3,377,000			
Sonoma	Son Co Transit	Sonoma County Transit: Replacement CNG Buses	SON150012	CON	STP-T4-2-TCP-TPI-REG						\$114,656			
Sonoma	Windsor	Bell Rd/Market St/Windsor River Rd Ped Improvement	SON130013	PE	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG									
Sonoma	Windsor	Bell Rd/Market St/Windsor River Rd Ped Improvement	SON130013	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG					\$410,000				
Sonoma	Windsor	Conde Ln/Johnson St Pedestrian Improvements	SON130012	PE	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG									
Sonoma	Windsor	Conde Ln/Johnson St Pedestrian Improvements	SON130012	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG					\$432,000				
Sonoma	Windsor	Jaguar Way/Windsor Road Bicycle /Ped Improvements	SON130003	CON	CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG			\$522,000						

S:\SCTA\02.SCTA Board and Committees\02.03 TAC\Agendas\2015\06. June\06.1a Draft FY2014-15 to FY2016-17 STP-CMAQ Obligation Plan.xlsx\06-15-15

Attachment 2 - Federal RTIP Funding for FFY 2015-16

	MPO/RTPA	Local Agency	Project Number <small>Provide the project FTIP or PPNO number.</small>	Project Location <small>Provide location of project: street name, highway, cross-street, etc.</small>	Project Scope / Description <small>Provide scope of project and brief description.</small>	Planned Date of Obligation for 1/31/16 and beyond (please enter as MM/DD/YYYY)	Federal STIP \$	Federal \$ Total Obligation	County	Date or Anticipated Date of Field Review	Number of Months Allotted for Completion of Cultural Resource Studies	Remarks <small>Enter comments or additional information and provide contact names & phone numbers for questions.</small>
Dist	MPO/RTPA	Sponsor	Project Number	Location	Description	Oblig. Date	STIP	Total Obligation	County			Comments
					TOTAL FUNDS PROGRAMMED		\$41,448,000	\$41,448,000				
4	MTC	Concord	2010D	Concord BART Station	Concord BART Station Bike/Ped Access Improvements	1/31/2016	\$1,007,000	1,007,000	Contra Costa			
4	MTC	Fairfax	2128E	Fairfax Parkade	Parkade Circulation and Safety Improvements	1/31/2016	\$45,000	45,000	Marin			
4	MTC	Marin County	2127S	Marin Co.: Miller Crk Rd.	Miller Creek Road Bike Lanes and Pedestrian Improvements	1/31/2016	\$362,000	362,000	Marin			
4	MTC	American Canyon	2130D	American Canyon: Devlin Rd	Devlin Rd and Vine Trail Extension	1/31/2016	\$297,000	297,000	Napa			
4	MTC	Calistoga	2130M	Calistoga: SR-128/Petrified Forest	SR-128 and Petrified Forest Intersection Improvements	1/31/2016	\$105,000	105,000	Napa			
4	MTC	VTA	0521C	Santa Clara Co.: I-680 from Capitol to Mueller	I-680 Soundwalls - Capitol Expwy to Mueller Ave	11/1/2015	\$95,000	95,000	Santa Clara			Environmental phase funds
4	MTC	STA	5301T	Vanden Rd from Peabody to Leisure Twn	Jepson Pkwy: Vanden Rd from Peabody to Leisure Town	1/31/2016	\$19,376,000	19,376,000	Solano			
4	MTC	STA	5301U	Leisure Town from Vanden to Commerce	Jepson Pkwy: Leisure Town Rd from Vanden to Commerce	1/31/2016	\$19,377,000	19,377,000	Solano			
4	MTC	Santa Rosa	9038A	Santa Rosa	Downtown Santa Rosa Streetscape	1/31/2016	\$353,000	353,000	Sonoma			
4	MTC	Napa (City of)	2130F	Napa: California at First and Second	California Blvd. Roundabouts	9/1/2016	\$431,000	431,000	Napa			Currently programmed in FY 14-15, 14-month allocation extension pending

Attachment 3 - Draft FFY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan listing for Local Safety Programs

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Prefix	ID	Fiscal Year		FFY 2015-16	Caltrans Obligation Deadline	FFY 2015-16 Regional RFA (E-76) Submittal Deadline					Number of Months Allotted for Completion of Cultural Resource Studies	
									Appn	Prog			Fund No.	Regional Obligation Deadline					Date or Anticipated Date of Field Review
														Region RFA: Past Due	Region Oblig: Past Due	Nov 01, 2015	May 31, 2016		
Marin	San Anselmo	San Anselmo School Route	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5436.00	SRTSLNI	5159020	13/14	14/15	SRTS3-04-011	\$496,400	Past Due						
Napa	Napa County	Napa County High Friction Surface	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5961.00	HSIPL	5921064	13/14	14/15	HSIP6-04-009	\$10,800	Past Due	\$496,400					
Napa	Napa County	Napa Co Metal Beam Guard Rail (HSIP6-	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5983.00	HSIPL	5921065	13/14	14/15	HSIP6-04-010	\$10,800	Past Due	\$10,800					
San Francisco	SFMTA	Denman Safe Routes to School	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5404.00	SRTSL	6328050	13/14	14/15	SRTS3-04-014	\$759,927	Past Due	\$10,800					
San Mateo	East Palo Alto	EPA Safe Routes to Schools - Cycle 3	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	6050.00	SRTSL	5438013	13/14	14/15	SRTS3-04-006	\$557,030	Past Due	\$759,927					
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Highway 9 Safety Improvements	CON	SCL070050	HSIP-T4-4	4130.00	HSIPL	5332021	13/14	14/15	HSIP4-04-004	\$900,000	Past Due	\$557,030					
Alameda	Alameda County	Pedestrian Imp, Ala Co (HSIP6-04-001)	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5980.00	HSIPL	5933129	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-001	\$847,300	Aug 27, 2016						
Alameda	Hayward	Install Traffic Signals, Mission/Blanche	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5982.00	HSIPL	5933129	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-006	\$396,000	Aug 27, 2016					\$847,300	
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP5-04-011 W. MacArthur Blvd	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5579.00	HSIPL	5012117	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-011	\$574,500	Nov 23, 2015					\$363,600	
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP5-04-012 98th Ave Corridor	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5580.00	HSIPL	5012118	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-012	\$539,600	Nov 23, 2015						
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP5-04-012 98th Ave Corridor	ROW	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5580.00	HSIPL	5012118	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-012	\$18,000	Nov 23, 2015						
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP5-04-013 Market St (45th	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5581.00	HSIPL	5012119	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-013	\$540,400	Nov 23, 2015						
Alameda	Oakland	Grand Ave Pedestrian Treatments	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5973.00	HSIPL	5012126	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-011	\$479,300	Sep 27, 2016					\$479,300	
Alameda	San Leandro	Bancroft Ave/Sybil Ave Intersection	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5591.00	HSIPL	5041042	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-019	\$379,500	Nov 23, 2015						
Contra Costa	CC County	Byron Highway-Camino Diablo	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5578.00	HSIPL	5928117	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-004	\$900,000	May 23, 2016						
Contra Costa	Concord	Willow Pass Rd. Traffic Signal Upgrades	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5595.00	HSIPCM	5135043	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-002	\$1,572,700	Nov 23, 2015						
Contra Costa	Concord	Concord New and Upgraded Signals at	CON	CC-130013	HSIP-T4-5	5793.00	HSIPCM	5135043	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-002	\$1,572,700	Nov 23, 2015						
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	Arlington Bl & Brewster Dr Safety	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5584.00	HSIPL	5239023	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-006	\$197,750	Nov 23, 2015						
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Contra Costa Blvd. Improvement (Beth	CON	CC-150011	HSIP-T4-5	6184.00	HSIPL	5375027	15/16	14/15	HSIP5-04-015	\$900,000	Nov 23, 2015						
Marin	Mill Valley	HSIP5-04-009 Miller Avenue Project	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5605.00	HSIPL	5361025	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-009	\$391,600	Nov 23, 2015						
Marin	Novato	HSIP5-04-010 Olive Avenue	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5606.00	HSIPL	5361025	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-010	\$576,100	Nov 23, 2015						
Napa	Napa County	Napa County High Friction Surface	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5961.00	HSIPL	5921064	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-009	\$291,100	Aug 27, 2016					\$291,100	
Napa	Napa County	Napa Co Metal Beam Guard Rail (HSIP6-	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5983.00	HSIPL	5921065	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-010	\$313,200	Aug 27, 2016					\$313,200	
San Francisco	SFMTA	South Van Ness Signals (HSIP6-04-014)	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5937.00	HSIPL	6328069	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-014	\$1,205,100	Aug 27, 2016					\$1,205,100	
San Francisco	SFMTA	Polk Street Signals (HSIP6-04-015)	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5945.00	HSIPL	6328068	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-015	\$1,164,600	Aug 27, 2016					\$1,164,600	
Santa Clara	San Jose	Park Avenue Bicycle Lane	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5649.00	HSIPL	5005121	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-018	\$593,700	Nov 23, 2015						
Santa Clara	San Jose	Ocala Avenue Improvements (HSIP6-04-	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5958.00	HSIP	5005135	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-016	\$823,700	Aug 27, 2016					\$823,700	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	HSIP5-04-021 Uvas Road Safety	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-3	5585.00	HRRRL	5937190	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-021	\$733,500	Nov 23, 2015						
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	HSIP5-04-022 Stevens Canyon Rd	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-3	5588.00	HRRRL	5937188	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-022	\$594,900	Nov 23, 2015						
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	HSIP5-04-023 Clayton Road Safety	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-3	5589.00	HRRRL	5937189	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-023	\$330,000	Nov 23, 2015						
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Watsonville Road Shoulder Widening	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5960.00	HSIPL	5937199	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-018	\$1,143,000	Aug 27, 2016					\$1,143,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	Capitol Expressway Pedestrian	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5990.00	HSIPL	6264063	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-019	\$787,000	Aug 27, 2016					\$787,000	
Solano	Fairfield	North Texas St at Acacia St Left Turn	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5993.00	HSIPL	5933044	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-004	\$115,900	Aug 27, 2016					\$115,900	
Solano	Fairfield	Travis Blvd/E. Travis Blvd Striping	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5991.00	HSIPL	5933043	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-005	\$210,600	Aug 27, 2016					\$183,200	
Solano	Solano County	Pleasants Valley Road Safety	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5925.00	HSIPL	5923105	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-025	\$807,400	Nov 23, 2015					\$807,400	
Solano	Solano County	Solano County Guardrail Project 2013	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5927.00	HSIPL	5923106	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-026	\$180,000	Nov 23, 2015					\$180,000	
Solano	Solano County	Cordelia-Lake Herman Rd Safety	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	6058.00	HSIPL	5923114	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-021	\$595,940	Sep 27, 2016					\$595,940	
Solano	Solano County	Hartley - Rockville Road Safety	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	6059.00	HSIPL	5923113	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-022	\$859,050	Sep 27, 2016					\$859,050	
Solano	Solano County	Dixon Ave-Putah Creek Road Safety	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	6060.00	HRRRL	5923115	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-023	\$920,200	Sep 27, 2016					\$920,200	
Solano	Vallejo	Sonoma Boulevard Improvements	CON	SOL110037	HSIP-T4-5	5582.00	HSIPL	5030057	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-031	\$259,640	Nov 23, 2015					\$259,640	
Sonoma	Petaluma	Lakeville Highway at Pine View Way	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-5	5576.00	HSIPL	5022054	15/16	15/16	HSIP5-04-014	\$142,200	Nov 23, 2015					\$142,200	
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Highway Safety Improvement Program	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-6	5953.00	HSIPL	5028073	15/16	15/16	HSIP6-04-020	\$748,500	Aug 27, 2016					\$748,500	

S:\SCTA\02.SCTA Board and Committees\02.03 TAC\Agendas\2015\06. June\06.1c Draft FY2015-16 Local Safety Program Obligation Plan.xlsx\Oblig Plan June 1 2015

Staff Report

To: Sonoma County Transportation Authority – Technical Advisory Committee
From: Seana L. S. Gause, Senior – Programming and Projects
Item: Federal Aid Project Cultural Resources Report Requirements
Date: June 25, 2015

Issue:

Survey of Federal Aid Project Sponsors: How many federal aid projects have been delayed or had funding jeopardized by cultural resources report requirements?

Background:

At the May joint meeting of the Local Streets and Roads and Programming and Delivery Working Groups, the topic was raised regarding cultural resources reports causing significant delays to delivery schedules of federal aid projects. At the June meeting of the Programming and Delivery Working Group, tasked with responsibilities of the Federal Efficiencies Subcommittee, it was decided to survey the jurisdictions of the 9 Bay Area counties to determine if there is an excessive number of reports and studies for cultural resources being required by District 4 Local Assistance. The CMA staff agreed to take this survey to their respective technical advisory committees for feedback that will then be delivered to and compiled by the Programming and Delivery Working Group at the July meeting. Attached is a blank spreadsheet that SCTA staff is asking Sonoma county jurisdictions to complete if they have experienced significant delays to their federal aid projects caused by excessive, or untimely, or inconsistent cultural resources studies requirements.

Policy Impacts:

None

Fiscal Impacts:

None yet identified.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends that federal aid project sponsors complete the attached spreadsheet with the basic information on projects that have experienced significant delays or jeopardized funding due to excessive cultural resources studies and reporting requirements.

Federal Aid Cultural Resources Studies Survey
Return to SCTA by July 1, 2015

Project Description

Project Location

Cultural Reports Required

How Schedule was affected