
Technical Advisory Committee

MEETING AGENDA

January 28, 2016 1:30 PM

Sonoma County Transportation Authority
SCTA Large Conference Room
490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 206
Santa Rosa, California 95401

ITEM

1. Introductions
2. Public Comment
3. Approval of Minutes, December 3, 2015* – **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
4. Transportation For Clean Air/Transportation Development Act, Article 3 – **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
 - 4.1. TFCA/TDA3 Quarterly Report*
 - 4.2. TFCA FYE 2017 Call For Projects**
5. SB743 Update* – **DISCUSSION**
6. Measure M **DISCUSSION / ACTION**
 - 6.1. Measure M Invoicing / Appropriation Status*
 - 6.2. LSR/LBT allocation estimates for FY2016/17*
 - 6.3. Maintenance of Effort**
7. Regional Information Update – **DISCUSSION**
 - 7.1. Pavement Conditions Summary – *(make sure that Street Saver database is up to date by January 22nd. If there is a problem meeting this date, contact Sui Tan, MTC)*
 - 7.2. MTC's Legislation Committee Memo: Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (http://apps.mtc.ca.gov/meeting_packet_documents/agenda_2471/J3D_Reauthorization_Update_with_Attachments.pdf) (This item is informational only)
8. Project Initiation Document Work Plan*
9. Rail Update – **DISCUSSION**
10. Draft SCTA Board Meeting Agenda: February 8, 2016**
11. Other Business / Comments / Announcements - **DISCUSSION**
12. Adjourn - **ACTION**

*Materials attached.

**Materials to be handed out at meeting/distributed separately



Copies of the full Agenda Packet are available at www.sctainfo.org

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability that requires the agenda materials to be in an alternate format or that requires an interpreter or other person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact SCTA at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

SB 343 DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OPEN SESSION AGENDAS: Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the **Technical Advisory Committee** after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the Sonoma County Transportation Authority office at 490 Mendocino Ave., Suite 206, during normal business hours. Pagers, cellular telephones and all other communication devices should be turned off during the committee meeting to avoid electrical interference with the sound recording system.

TAC Voting member attendance – (6 Month rolling 2015/16)

Jurisdiction	June	July	Aug. Special Meeting	Sept	Oct	Dec. (Nov. meeting cancelled)		
Cloverdale Public Works		√	√	√	√			
Cotati Public Works					√			
County of Sonoma DHS	√		√	√	√	√		
County of Sonoma PRMD								
County of Sonoma Reg. Parks	√			√				
County of Sonoma TPW	√	√	√	√				
Healdsburg Public Works	√			√				
Petaluma Public Works & Transit	√	√	√	√	√	√		
Rohnert Park Public Works	√	√	√		√	√		
Santa Rosa Public Works	√		√	√	√			
Santa Rosa Transit								
Sebastopol Public Works	√	√		√	√	√		
SMART				√				
Sonoma County Transit			√					
Sonoma Public Works	√	√		√	√	√		
Windsor Public Works	√	√	√	√	√	√		

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes of December 3, 2015

1. Introductions

Meeting called to order at 1:30 p.m. by Acting Chair Larry Zimmer.

Committee: Larry Zimmer, City of Petaluma, Acting Chair; Art Da Rosa; City of Rohnert Park; Stuart Hayre, Town of Windsor; Mona Ibrahim, Town of Windsor; Henry Mikus, City of Sebastopol; Dan Takasugi, City of Sonoma; Anthony Taylor, Sonoma County Department of Health Services; Elizabeth Tyree, Sonoma County Regional Parks.

Guests: Duane DeWitt, citizen.

Staff: Brant Arthur, Chris Barney, James Cameron, Nina Donofrio, Suzanne Smith, Dana Turr y.

2. Public Comment

Duane DeWitt advocated for the Roseland area for alternative transportation along Roseland Creek, referencing PDAs and PCAs.

Mr. Zimmer suggested that Mr. DeWitt work with the City of Santa Rosa Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Board to advocate for this project.

3. Approval of Minutes, October 22, 2015* – DISCUSSION / ACTION

Approved as submitted.

4. TDA3 Preliminary Call for Projects* – DISCUSSION / ACTION

Dana Turr y referred to the Preliminary Call for Projects and Preliminary Estimate of TDA3 Funding for Fiscal Year 2016/17. A Call for Projects will be released after the Fiscal Year 2016/17 fund estimates are confirmed with the MTC.

5. DISCUSSION / ACTION

5.1. Measure M Invoicing / Appropriation Status*

James Cameron referred to the most recent figures, noting that all jurisdictions are current in their invoicing. He referred to handouts for further information.

6. Regional Information Update – DISCUSSION

- 6.1. Water Board's Issuance of Municipal Regional Permit does not affect Sonoma County yet:
http://apps.mtc.ca.gov/meeting_packet_documents/agenda_2462/J02b_SF_Bay_Water_Board_Municipal_Regional_Permit_2_Update.pdf (*this item is informational only*)

Mr. Cameron noted that this informational for those jurisdictions working in the San Francisco area, noting that this is most likely to apply to the City of Petaluma and unincorporated areas of Sonoma County.

- 6.2. Federal Programs Update* *The deadline to submit a Request for Authorization (RFA) for projects included in the FY2015-16 Obligation Plan was November 1, 2015 and the deadline to receive authorization is January 31, 2016.*

Mr. Cameron reminded those with federal projects of the delivery deadline.

- 6.3. 2017 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Development: *March will be the last confirmed amendment of the 2015 TIP. There will be administrative modifications in May and June and then the FMS will lock down until December while the new TIP is developed.*

Mr. Cameron noted that if jurisdictions have a project with changes, these must be submitted prior to May or June so that TIP funding will be committed.

- 6.4. MTC Workshops on Pavement Condition Index and Value Capture* (*this item is informational only*)

Mr. Cameron announced this upcoming workshop, referring to the memo from MTC for information.

7. Rail Update – DISCUSSION

The SMART Technical Advisory Committee meeting was rescheduled; therefore, there are no updates.



**8. Draft SCTA Board Meeting Agenda:
December 14, 2015**

Mr. Cameron summarized items to be addressed at the upcoming Board meeting and presented the draft agenda.

**9. Other Business / Comments /
Announcements – DISCUSSION**

Mr. Cameron presented an updated summary of Measure M activities by category (Local Street Projects, Bike Ped Projects, 101 Program, and Marin-Sonoma Narrows). This outlines funding expenditures and Measure M progress to date.

Larry Zimmer referred to a memo from Adam Cranshaw of MTC and inquired about the directive stating that the total cost of a project in the TIP cannot exceed the cost of the project as shown in Plan Bay Area. Mr. Cameron agreed to follow up on this further and get clarification.

Discussion followed regarding the fact that the 2017 TIP Program Development is a planning document.

10. Adjourn - ACTION

1:53 p.m.

Staff Report

To: SCTA Technical Advisory Committee
From: Dana Turr y, Transportation Planner
Item: Quarterly Status Report of TDA3 and TFCA Projects
Date: January 28, 2016

This report provides the status of TDA3 and TFCA projects not yet fully expended as of December 31, 2015. Expenditures and remaining balances are as of January 20, 2016.

Transportation Development Act, Article 3 (TDA3) Projects						
Jurisdiction	Project	ID	Programmed Amount	Funds Expended	Funds Remaining	Funds Expire
County of Sonoma	Bodega Bay Bike & Ped Trail - Coastal Prairie Trail	15-0010-01	\$260,000.00	\$0.00	\$260,000.00	6/30/2017
Santa Rosa	Class III Bike Signage Program	14-0010-04	\$20,000.00	\$1,480.72	\$18,519.28	6/30/2016
Santa Rosa	Ped Enhancements Citywide	14-0010-05	\$100,000.00	\$80,271.03	\$19,728.97	6/30/2016
Santa Rosa	3rd Street Traffic Signal	14-0010-07	\$93,000.00	\$70,796.81	\$22,203.19	6/30/2016
Santa Rosa	SMART Crossing at Jennings	16-0010-01	\$503,313.00	\$0.00	\$503,313.00	6/30/2018
Sonoma	Napa Rd Class II Bike Lanes	14-0010-02	\$23,534.00*	\$23,534.00	\$0.00	6/30/2016

*Original programmed amount was \$5,000; 14-0010-03 was completed under budget of which the remaining funds (\$18,533.18) were moved to project 14-0010-02.

Project costs must be incurred prior to the TDA3 expiration date (typically June 30). Sponsors must submit invoices no later than August 31 for any funds expiring June 30.

Quarterly Status Report of TDA3 and TFCA Projects

Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Projects, County Program Manager Fund						
Jurisdiction	Project	ID	Programmed Amount	Funds Expended	Funds Remaining	Funds Expire
Petaluma Transit	Youth Bus Pass Subsidy Program	16-SON-03	\$16,000.00	\$0.00	\$ 16,000.00	10/26/2017
Petaluma Transit	Transit Marketing program	16-SON-04	\$64,006.00	\$0.00	\$ 64,006.00	10/26/2017
Petaluma	Traffic Signal Coordination Timing Project	16-SON-05	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$ 100,000.00	10/26/2017
Petaluma	Electric Vehicle Charging Station Installation	16-SON-06	\$13,841.40	\$0.00	\$ 13,841.40	10/26/2017
Santa Rosa	Free Rider Trip Reduction Incentive Program	16-SON-01	\$230,892.00	\$13,426.48	\$217,465.52	10/26/2017
Sonoma County Transit	Transit Shelters	14-SON-04	\$77,983.00	\$64,305.00	\$13,678.00	10/29/2016
Sonoma County Transit (Sebastopol)	Sebastopol Bus Stop Improvements	14-SON-05	\$75,000.00	\$0.00	\$75,000.00	10/29/2016
Sonoma County Transit	Transit Marketing Program	15-SON-02	\$146,278.33	\$129,285.32	\$16,993.01	12/19/2016
Sonoma County Transit	Transit Marketing Program	16-SON-02	\$ 140,199.00	\$0.00	\$ 140,199.00	10/26/2017
Windsor	Arterial Management	15-SON-05	\$132,941.84	\$0.00	\$132,941.84	12/19/2016

Final Reports for TFCA projects completed before December 31, 2015 will be due to SCTA in May 2016.

Please submit all TFCA invoices by June 24 for any expenses incurred in that fiscal year.



The Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) is pleased to invite your input on a revised proposal to update the CEQA Guidelines regarding the analysis of transportation impacts. Senate Bill 743 (Steinberg, 2013) required that OPR develop an initial draft of such changes by the Summer of 2014. This revised proposal reflects input that OPR received on that preliminary discussion draft. The revised proposal contains: (1) an explanation of the changes and how they respond to public input, (2) a revised proposed new CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3, (3) a draft technical advisory containing recommendations related to methodology, thresholds of significance, safety, and mitigation, and (4) case studies illustrating how the proposed analysis would apply to sample projects. A copy of the revised proposal is available here: https://www.opr.ca.gov/docs/Revised_VMT_CEQA_Guidelines_Proposal_January_20_2016.pdf. A news release describing the proposal is provided below. Notices of any public workshops will be announced on this listserv and posted on OPR's website at opr.ca.gov.

We look forward to your input. Please submit all written comments by 5:00pm on February 29, 2016, to CEQA.Guidelines@resources.ca.gov.

#####

State Seeks Public Comment On New Rules to Streamline Projects Benefitting Public Transportation, Walking and Biking

MEDIA CONTACT: 916-445-4571

SACRAMENTO—Following 18 months of public workshops and incorporating hundreds of public comments, the Office of Planning and Research today announced a proposal to streamline CEQA for projects that boost public transportation, walking and biking, and reduce the need for traveling long distances by car.

“These new rules help remove a quirk of California environmental law that made it harder to build projects that improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions,” said Ken Alex, Director of the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. “Not only does this proposal remove barriers to infill development, walking, biking and public transportation—it also explicitly recognizes that such projects have less-than-significant impacts under environmental law.”

SB 743 required the state to change how impacts on transportation are evaluated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), removing barriers to infill development, public transportation projects and projects that increase walking and biking. Under current environmental rules, increases in car traffic are considered an environmental impact that must be mitigated—often by building more roads for more cars—even if that mitigation actually increases greenhouse gas emissions.

The new rules would streamline CEQA review for projects that increase infrastructure for transit, bicycles and pedestrians and reduce the need for traveling long distances by car. The new rules will also make it easier for developers to complete residential, commercial and mixed-use infill projects that improve air quality by reducing the number of miles driven by cars.

Public agencies will have two years to prepare for the transition to the new rules. Cities that are already moving ahead

“The implementation of SB 743 will allow other cities throughout California to better align their project review and analysis with local goals to enhance livability and economic vitality, and encourage walking, biking, and transit,” said Fred Dock, Director of the City of Pasadena’s Department of Transportation.

Transportation currently accounts for approximately half of California’s overall greenhouse gas emissions.

Today’s release marks the beginning of a forty-five day initial public comment period before finalizing the proposal and submitting to the California Natural Resources Agency to commence the formal rulemaking process under the Administrative Procedure Act. The regulations are anticipated to be effective statewide in 2019.

A draft of the proposed rules is available here:

https://www.opr.ca.gov/docs/Revised_VMT_CEQA_Guidelines_Proposal_January_20_2016.pdf.

The public can comment on the rules by submitting comments to CEQA.Guidelines@resources.ca.gov by 5:00pm on February 29, 2016.

[Subscribe or Unsubscribe](#)

Sonoma County Transportation Authority
 Measure M Appropriation/Invoice Status Report
 FY 15/16

Project Sponsor	Project Name	Measure M Program	Prior Apprp Balance	15/16 Programmed	15/16 Amount Apprp	Appropriation Date	Last Invoice Date	Balance Remaining	Notes
Santa Rosa	Hearn Avenue (Phase 3)	LSP	\$1,150,000			4/8/15	1/19/16	\$809,351	invoice rec'd but addt'l detail needed to process
Santa Rosa	Fulton Road Improvements	LSP	\$0		\$500,000	9/14/15	1/19/16		invoice rec'd but addt'l detail needed to process
Santa Rosa	Access Across 101 Comm Conn	Bike/Ped	\$121,741	\$0	\$0	9/12/11	1/19/16	\$59,054	
Sonoma County	Airport Blvd	LSP	\$0	\$2,047,000	\$0				
SoCo DHS	SRTS (DHS)	Bike/Ped	\$42,000	\$26,000		12/8/14	9/4/15	\$37,364	
SCBC	SRTS (SCBC)	Bike/Ped	\$8,553	\$19,000	\$0	2/9/15	12/17/15	\$147	
SCBC	BTW (SCBC)	Bike/Ped	\$3,804	\$15,000	\$0	2/9/15	7/1/15	\$3,804	
SoCo Regional Pks	Sonoma Schellville	Bike/Ped	\$42,421	\$0	\$0	10/19/09	11/24/105	\$30,985	
SMART	NWPRR	Bike/Ped	\$668,915	\$0	\$0	6/8/15	8/27/15	\$633,557	

\$2,107,000

\$1,574,262

Staff Report

To: Sonoma County Transportation Authority – Technical Advisory Committee

From: James Cameron, Deputy of Projects and Programming

Item: 6.2 Measure M Local Streets Rehabilitation/Local Bus Transit Allocation Estimates

Date: January 28, 2016

Issue:

What are the annual fund estimates for the LSR/LBT programs in Measure M?

Background:

Policy 4.1 of the 2014 Measure M Strategic Plan requires that SCTA provide annual fund estimates to the jurisdictions that receive Measure M funds in the above mentioned programs no later than February 1 of each year. The fund estimates for FY16/17 are attached.

Policy Impacts:

None, this procedure is compliant with existing policy.

Fiscal Impacts:

None

Staff Recommendation:

None, this item is informational.

Measure M Allocation for LSR Fiscal Year 2016-17 Estimated Disbursements

Local Road Rehab Funding

			ALLOCATION AMOUNT	
Index Code	Project Code	Measure M Project	Revised Percentage Share*	Total Estimate for FY 2016/17
793208	M20001	Cloverdale	1.55047943545%	\$ 69,232.62
793208	M20002	Cotati	1.22360572256%	\$ 54,636.93
793208	M20003	Healdsburg	2.12680106917%	\$ 94,966.77
793208	M20004	Petaluma	9.59024635384%	\$ 428,227.49
793208	M20005	Rohnert Park	6.05722054942%	\$ 270,469.42
793208	M20006	Santa Rosa	27.77901428551%	\$ 1,240,399.59
793208	M20007	Sebastopol	1.25620861057%	\$ 56,092.73
793208	M20008	Sonoma	1.79251088280%	\$ 80,039.91
793208	M20009	Windsor	4.52710277701%	\$ 202,145.99
793208	M20010	Sonoma County	44.09681031368%	\$ 1,969,028.30
			100.00000000000%	\$ 4,465,239.75

Updated Percentage Share 9/15/2015 includes January 1, 2015 DOF population numbers.

*Board of Equalization Actual Receipts - 2/19/2015 to 1/31/16

<u>LSR Deposit Journals</u>	<u>Date Posted</u>	<u>Actual Receipts</u>	
23013	2/19/2015	\$ 410,640.00	
26798	3/30/2015	\$ 337,788.76	
29215	4/22/2015	\$ 258,560.00	
32164	5/21/2015	\$ 344,740.00	
43662	6/30/2015	\$ 419,857.52	
41488	7/27/2015	\$ 297,460.00	
43662	8/21/2015	\$ 396,600.00	
47289	9/28/2015	\$ 433,824.52	
49576	10/23/2015	\$ 311,920.00	
51846	11/23/2015	\$ 415,880.00	
55154	12/29/2015	\$ 392,573.43	
	1/31/16 pending	\$ 315,339.99	Estimated
<u>TTD BOE Receipts as of 1/31/16</u>		\$ 4,335,184.22	
3% Projected Total Growth		\$ 130,055.53	
<u>Total Estimated for FY15/16**</u>		\$ 4,465,239.75	

**Estimate is based on actual revenues collected.

Total Measure M FY15/16 Final LSR Budget* \$ 4,303,744.00**

***Approved FY15/16 Final Measure M Budget reflects 3% increase in revenue.

Population As of January 1, 2015

Jurisdiction	Population ¹	Percent	50%
Cloverdale	8,708	1.7548%	0.8773750486%
Cotati	7,346	1.4803%	0.7401466591%
Healdsburg	11,687	2.3550%	1.1775243676%
Petaluma	59,540	11.9979%	5.9989561776%
Rohnert Park	41,077	8.2774%	4.1387155342%
Santa Rosa	173,071	34.8756%	17.4377787137%
Sebastopol	7,507	1.5127%	0.7563682235%
Sonoma	10,933	2.2031%	1.1015550536%
Windsor	27,335	5.5083%	2.7541395216%
County	149,049	30.0349%	15.0174407006%
	496,253	100.0000%	50%

¹ California Department of Finance - January 1, 2015 - Based on published population by entity.

Road Mileage (Center Line Miles)

Jurisdiction	Center Line Miles	Percent Center Line Miles	50%	
Cloverdale	32.05	1.3462%	0.673104387%	no change
Cotati	23.02	0.9669%	0.483459063%	Updated 9/15/15
Healdsburg	45.20	1.8986%	0.949276702%	Updated 9/15/15
Petaluma	171.00	7.1826%	3.591290176%	no change
Rohnert Park	91.35	3.8370%	1.918505015%	Updated 9/15/15
Santa Rosa	492.40	20.6825%	10.341235572%	Updated 9/15/15
Sebastopol	23.80	0.9997%	0.499840387%	no change
Sonoma	32.90	1.3819%	0.690955829%	no change
Windsor	84.42	3.5459%	1.772963255%	no change
County	1,384.62	58.1587%	29.079369613%	no change
Total	2,380.76	100.0000%	50%	Updated 9/15/15

Road Mileage (Center Line Miles) based on FY14/15 Annual Reporting Letter Submissions.

As of January 2015 for FY16/17

Combined Population / Road Mile Formula (50/50 Split)

Jurisdiction	50/50 Split Percent Share
Cloverdale	1.55047943545%
Cotati	1.22360572256%
Healdsburg	2.12680106917%
Petaluma	9.59024635384%
Rohnert Park	6.05722054942%
Santa Rosa	27.77901428551%
Sebastopol	1.25620861057%
Sonoma	1.79251088280%
Windsor	4.52710277701%
County	44.09681031368%
Total	100.0000000000%

Measure M Local Bus Transit (LBT) Program Distribution Ratios
 FY 2016/17

Population As of January 1, 2015

Area	Population¹	% Population
Cloverdale	8,708	1.7548%
Cotati	7,346	1.4803%
Healdsburg	11,687	2.3550%
Petaluma	59,540	11.9979%
Rohnert Park	41,077	8.2774%
Santa Rosa	173,071	34.8756%
Sebastopol	7,507	1.5127%
Sonoma	10,933	2.2031%
Windsor	27,335	5.5083%
County	149,049	30.0349% ²
Total	496,253	100.0000%

¹ California Department of Finance - January 1, 2015 - Based on published population by entity.

² See below for adjusted calculation for TDA formula

Local Bus Transit (LBT) Program Distribution Ratios For FY2016/17		
Distribution to Transit Operators based on TDA Population Percentages Above		
Healdsburg	11,687	2.3550%
Petaluma	59,540	11.9979%
Santa Rosa	173,071	34.8756%
County	251,955	50.7715%
Total	496,253	100.0000%

Staff Report

To: Sonoma County Transportation Authority – Technical Advisory Committee

From: Seana L.S. Gause, Senior – Programming and Projects

Item: 8.0 Project Initiation Documents – Status Update

Date: January 28, 2016

Issue:

What is the status of Caltrans' Three Fiscal Year Work Plan for Project Initiation Documents (PIDs) in Sonoma County? Shall the TAC provide direction to staff on the next priority PID?

Background:

Caltrans is required by gubernatorial action to have cost recovery agreements for any oversight provided by Caltrans' staff. Such requirements necessitate having cooperative agreements with Caltrans in order for funds to be exchanged. Further, Caltrans requires its' districts to have a three year work plan for production of PIDs, and if a project is to be considered for the work plan, it should be listed in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), and have secured funding sources through the Environmental Phase.

Last year, as in previous years, SCTA staff at the Board's direction submitted a three year work plan. SCTA is anticipating Caltrans' request for an updated plan for 16/17. As such, SCTA is seeking input from the TAC regarding new/additional PIDs that may be needed. There is one currently active project, the cross town connector/pedestrian bridge in Santa Rosa.

The existing three year list includes five other projects: Forestville Bypass, Railroad Avenue, improvements at Fourth Street and Farmers Lane, Highway 37 and Hwy 12 Right of Way in Santa Rosa.

Staff is soliciting direction from the TAC regarding the priority of the remaining projects and any additional projects that may need to be added to the list. There is a requirement that PIDs be developed and paid for with local funds, and that projects have committed funding sources through at least the environmental phase in order for Caltrans to enter into a cooperative agreement for oversight on PID development. Caltrans cannot begin work on a PID without an executed cooperative agreement.

Policy Impacts:

None, it is within policy for the SCTA to identify countywide priorities for PID development.

Fiscal Impacts:

Caltrans has established streamlined estimates for the PID process. Caltrans estimates that developing a PID takes about 16-18 months, and the equivalent work of one person/year (1758 hours). This works out to about \$200,000 per PID for oversight. In addition, each PID will cost a certain amount to develop – ranging from \$150,000 and up depending on project complexity. Caltrans also estimates that executing a cooperative agreements for such work takes 2-3 months.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the TAC consider the remaining projects in the work plan and any additional projects that may need to be added to the list and make a recommendation to staff as to the next appropriate priority for PID development (to be funded by project sponsor). Staff will represent the TACs recommendation to the SCTA Board prior to submitting to Caltrans.

FY15-17 Non-SHOPP PID List

Number	Executed Reimbursement Agreement (Y/N)	Lead/QA/IOA	County	Route	Begin Postmile	End Postmile	Purpose & Need	Improvement Description	Location	RTP Project Number	RTP Tier 1 (Y/N)	Estimated Initiation Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Estimated PID Completion Date (MM/YYYY)	Capital Cost (\$M)	Support Cost (\$M)	STIP Programming Cycle(s)	PA/ED Programming Cycle(s)	Federal Funding (Y/ N)	Local Funding (Measure/Other/Both/NA)	Other State Funding (Bond, CMAQ, TCRP, ARRA, Other, NA)	Type of PID	Project Sponsor	Implementing Agency
1	Y	IOA	SON	101	20.2	22.2	Bike and pedestrian safety and traffic	Bike/Ped Overcrossing	City of Santa Rosa north of Downtow, south of Bicentennial	240651	Y	03/18/13	06/2014	10.0	5.0	NA	NA	NA	N	NA	PSR/PDS	City of Santa Rosa	Caltrans
1																							
2																							
3																							
4																							
5																							
6																							
7																							
8																							
9																							
10																							
11																							
12																							
13																							
14																							
14																							
15																							

Number	Executed Reimbursement Agreement (Y/N)	If No Executed Agreement, Target Date for Executed	Lead/QA/IQA	County	Route	Begin Postmile	End Postmile	Purpose & Need	Improvement Description	Location	RTP Project Number	RTP Tier 1 (Y/N)	Estimated Initiation Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Estimated PID Completion Date (MM/YYYY)	Capital Cost (\$M)	Support Cost (\$M)	STIP Programming Cycle(s)	PA/ED Programming Cycle(s)	Federal Funding (Y/ N)	Local Funding (Measure/Other/Both/NA)	Other State Funding (Bond, CMAQ, TCRP, ARRA, Other, NA)	Type of PID	Project Sponsor	Implementing Agency
1	Y		IQA	SON	101	20.2	22.2	Bike and pedestrian safety and traffic	Bike/Ped Overcrossing	City of Santa Rosa north of Downtow, south of Bicentennial	240651	Y	03/18/13	06/2014	10.0	5.0	NA	NA	NA	N	NA	PSR/PDS	City of Santa Rosa	Caltrans
2	N		LEAD	Var	37	var	var	Options for Sealevel Rise	Operational Improvements	Highway 37 between US 101 in Marin and the Napa River bridge (23-64) in			TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	NA	N	NA	PSR/PDS	MTC	Caltrans
3	N		IQA	SON	101			Provide Access to 101 at Railroad Avenue	Operational Improvements	Railroad Avenue Interchange		Y	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	NA	Y	TBD	PSR/PDS	SCTA	Caltrans
4	N		IQA	SON	12			Provide congestion relief at intersection	Operational Improvements	Intersection of Fourth Street and Farmers Lane in Santa Rosa			TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	NA	N	TBD	PSR/PDS	City of Santa Rosa	Caltrans
5	N		IQA	SON	116			Remove commercial truck traffic from downtown Forestville	Mirabel Extension	From intersection of SR 116 and Mirabel Road to Packing House Road in Forestville			TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	NA	N	TBD	PSR/PDS	County of Sonoma Transportation & Public Works	Caltrans
6	N		IQA	SON	12			Create Parkland/Parkway	Convert Caltrans R/W to usable public space for alternative transportation	Highway 12 Right of Way near Farmers Lane			TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	NA	N	TBD	PSR/PDS	City of Santa Rosa	Caltrans
7										t														
8																								
9																								
10																								
11																								
12																								
13																								
14																								
15																								
16																								
17																								