

Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee

MEETING AGENDA

April 1, 2013 1:30-3:00 PM**

Sonoma County Transportation Authority
SCTA Large Conference Room
490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 206
Santa Rosa, California 95401

ITEM

1. Introductions
2. Public Comment
3. Approval of Minutes: January 21, 2014 – **DISCUSSION / ACTION***
4. Roundtable Updates
 - 4.1. Transit / Paratransit Operators
 - 4.2. Other Entities
5. Coordinated Claim - **DISCUSSION / ACTION***
6. Other Business / Comments / Announcements
 - 6.1. Santa Rosa CityBus unveils bus locator system -
<http://www.pressdemocrat.com/article/20140127/articles/140129613>
7. Adjourn – **ACTION**

*Materials attached.

The next **SCTA** meeting will be held April 14, 2014
The next **TPCC** meeting will be held May 20, 2014

Copies of the full Agenda Packet are available at www.sctainfo.org

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability that requires the agenda materials to be in an alternate format or that requires an interpreter or other person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact SCTA at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

SB 343 DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OPEN SESSION AGENDAS: Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the **Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee** after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the Sonoma County Transportation Authority office at 490 Mendocino Ave., Suite 206, during normal business hours.

Pagers, cellular telephones and all other communication devices should be turned off during the committee meeting to avoid electrical interference with the sound recording system.



TRANSIT PARATRANSIT COORDINATING MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes of January 21, 2014

ITEM

1. Introductions

Meeting called to order at 1:03 p.m. by Chair Dennis Battenberg.

TPCC Member Attendees: Dennis Battenberg, Chair; Vaughn Held, Disability and Legal Services Center, Vice Chair; Larry Hall, CCB Homeless Task Force; Michael Ivory, City of Santa Rosa; Joe Rye, Petaluma Transit; Steven Schmitz, Sonoma County Transit; Tracy Repp, Area Agency on Aging.

Guest Attendees: Sheila Baker, citizen; Steve Birdlebough, Friends of SMART; Patricia Kearns, Citizen; Linda Picton, Occupy Transit.

Staff: Diane Dohm, Nina Donofrio.

2. Public Comment

Linda Picton raised the issue of safety at the Transit Mall after hours, noting she had witnessed potentially dangerous incidents.

Larry Hall added that rest rooms at City Hall are locked after 10:00 p.m. after the City Council meeting.

Michael Ivory of Santa Rosa CityBus explained that the Transit Department is not available after hours. Discussion followed regarding whose responsibility it would be to enforce safety measures for the public in this area after business hours. Mr. Ivory said he would forward these concerns to the Superintendent of Operations, Steve Roreus, and recommended that the Committee send a letter regarding these issues. Mr. Ivory added that Transit staff can contact Public Safety staff; however, he felt it would likely be more effective for the Committee to send them a letter. He also suggested posting concerns via the City Website at "MySantaRosa Online Problem Reporting."

Additional comments included a hazard with the crosswalk by the AT&T building at the Transit Mall, and that it is at a different level than the sidewalk;

and that the isolated, cavernous nature of the Transit Mall after hours raises security issues.

Steve Birdlebough recommended considering the redesign and reconfiguration of the Transit Mall in the next long-range bus plan. Mr. Ivory responded that staff anticipates developing a long-range draft plan this year.

Vaughn Held suggested the possibility of recruiting for volunteers for increased security in this area, citing examples of cities with minimal resources where this has been implemented.

3. Approval of Minutes: November 19, 2013 – **DISCUSSION / ACTION***

The minutes were approved with the following correction under TPCC Member Attendees to read: "Larry Hall, CCB Homeless Task Force."

4. TPCC Elections for 2014 – ACTION*

Ms. Dohm led election of officers for 2014, noting that Mr. Battenberg has agreed to continue serving as Chair and that Stan Gow has agreed to serve as Vice Chair. She opened up nominations to any other interested members. Chair Battenberg was elected to continue serving as Chair and Stan Gow was elected Vice Chair.

5. Review of Membership Roster – DISCUSSION*

Ms. Dohm reported a vacancy in the membership roster for a local social service provider for transportation for seniors. Tracy Repp offered to provide contact information for the Sebastopol Senior Center. Other potential agencies to contact are Petaluma People Services, Jewish Family and Children's Service Center, and Oakmont.

Discussion continued regarding protocol for adding new positions/member representatives on the Committee. Ms. Dohm agreed to look into the Committee Charter and check on this policy.

Sheila Baker, citizen, addressed the need for representation for the bicycle/transit community on the Committee.



6. Roundtable Updates

6.1. Transit / Paratransit Operators

Sonoma County Transit:

Steve Schmitz reported that while he did not have hard data, fixed route service had remained flat.

Paratransit service was at a record high in January, with 216 paratransit riders transported on January 18.

Discussion followed regarding the success of the paratransit evaluation program.

Mr. Schmitz announced that new 40 ft. CNG buses are being delivered this week. These will replace nine of the c. 2002 vehicles currently in the fleet.

Construction of the Cotati Intermodal Facility has continued and Mr. Schmitz showed photographs of the progress that has been made. This is projected to be completed by the end of March.

Two new compressors have been purchased for a total of four compressors; the final compressor will be delivered next week and is expected to be operating in March.

Schedule changes will be implemented February 2. One of the more significant changes is the extending of Route 26 to Golf Course Drive West. Additional trips are being added to provide increased service for the casino.

Mr. Schmitz responded to Ms. Baker's questions regarding unmet transit needs, explaining that this procedure has changed and that TDA funding is now all allocated for transit.

Discussion followed regarding the required ¼ mi. buffer established through the ADA for paratransit service, and that transit service can be denied to those areas that are determined not to be safe or that could damage the vehicle; e.g., very steep driveways. Ms. Dohm pointed out that these are situations where these portions of the transportation service could be provided by volunteer driver programs.

Petaluma Transit:

Joe Rye reported that fixed route has increased by approximately 10% over the previous year; however, paratransit service has jumped to over 24% over the previous year. He noted that this may be due, in part, to the recent local increase in senior housing development.

The transit maintenance facility rehabilitation is almost complete.

The City was awarded a BAAQMD grant to install 9 or 10 new bus shelters. An additional grant was

received that will be used to install 12-15 new bicycle racks at these bus shelters. These are expected to be installed this summer.

Two new paratransit vans are being ordered and are projected to be delivered in the fall.

Staff is continuing to look into the purchase of used buses to aid in addressing current capacity constraints.

Discussion followed regarding the needs of seniors who have to stop driving and providing travel training and mobility services. In response to Ms. Baker's request, Mr. Rye said he would have staff contact Ann-Marie Talbot, Resident Services Coordinator at PEP Housing for seniors, to provide a presentation and education on mobility for seniors and available transportation resources.

Mr. Held recommended contacting Rabon Saip of the Area Agency on Aging regarding helping seniors who are transitioning from driving to depending on other forms of transportation. He noted that Mr. Saip conducts workshops and outreach for seniors who have to stop driving.

Joy Gipson of the City of Santa Rosa was also mentioned as a travel trainer for seniors, as she provides monthly presentations at the senior center on how to use public transit.

Further discussion involved offering incentives to "choice" riders to encourage more green transportation options. Mr. Rye explained that staff has generally concentrated on the student market as the most effective use of resources and efforts to encourage alternate modes of transportation, including transit. He noted that the upcoming SMART rail service will provide additional opportunities to garner a larger market of choice riders to use alternate modes of transportation.

Ms. Dohm added that Climate Action 2020 is currently addressing this issue, noting that transportation is the largest source of GHG emissions in Sonoma County.

Santa Rosa CityBus:

Mike Ivory reported that paratransit ridership has continued to rise; current ridership is 10% above the previous year.

A paratransit efficiency review was conducted, and this report will be addressed in a study session with City Council, where the consultant and City staff will also present alternatives to address paratransit issues. A public hearing will be held February 11, followed by direction from City Council as to next steps to be taken. It is likely that paratransit service will be reduced back to a stricter ¼ mile radius in

some areas, and will not be provided in other areas. Mr. Ivory cited examples where paratransit service has been provided to places that are not served by fixed route transit. He noted that circumstances now require stricter alignment with ADA standards.

Fixed route service has inexplicably dropped significantly. Discussion followed regarding examining data to determine when and where ridership is decreasing.

Six new fixed route buses have been delivered and are getting prepared for service within the next two weeks.

Six new paratransit vehicles have been delivered and are also undergoing preparation for service, including new video equipment, which Mr. Ivory reported will be replaced in all paratransit vehicles, as it has typically had an 80% failure rate.

Work on the bus stop survey and ADA compliance is continuing.

6.2. Other Entities

No other entities reported.

7. Golden Gate Transit - Strategic Vision for Golden Gate Transit Regional Bus Service – DISCUSSION*

7.1. <http://www.pressdemocrat.com/article/20131226/articles/131229674>

8. Sonoma Access - <http://www.sonomaaccess.org/> - DISCUSSION

Sonoma Access is now live. Mr. Ivory reported over 400 visits to the website since it has been implemented. He demonstrated some of the features on the website.

Mr. Ivory explained that Sonoma County 211 is under contract with Sonoma Access. Consumers can call Sonoma County 211 for transportation information as an option to using the website.

The Area Agency on Aging has submitted a grant to take over administration of Sonoma Access. This is planned as a comprehensive, full-service effort with collaboration of all participating local agencies.

Ms. Repp added that Sonoma Access partners will have quarterly meetings to discuss resource sharing and ideas, and that the next meeting is scheduled for February 11 at 1:30 p.m.

Mr. Ivory pointed out the online form that can be completed for interested agencies and volunteers who can provide transportation.

Mr. Ivory observed that Sonoma Access also points out where there are gaps in service and areas of need.

9. Other Business / Comments / Announcements

Mr. Schmitz reported that Bryan Albee is currently working on the draft Coordinated Claim, and that it is increasing.

Chair Battenberg polled the Committee to see if they are willing to adjust the meeting time to 1:30 p.m. to make it easier for members to attend following their lunch break. The Committee concurred with this time change.

10. Adjourn – **ACTION** 2:41 p.m.

Staff Report

To: Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee
From: Diane Dohm, Transportation Planner
Item: TDA/STA/Measure M Coordinated Claim for FY14/15
Date: April 1, 2014

Issue:

Shall the Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee recommend approval to the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) of the FY14/15 TDA/STA/Measure M Coordinated Claim?

Background:

Each year a Coordinated Claim for Transportation Development Act (TDA), State Transit Assistance (STA), and Measure M funds is developed by the jurisdictions of Sonoma County. These funds are the primary source of operating revenue for all of Sonoma County's transit operators. Because the service areas of transit operators in Sonoma County cross jurisdictional boundaries, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) requires that a Coordinated Claim for these funds be prepared and adopted annually by the SCTA.

Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues are derived from sales tax receipts (one quarter of 1% of the state sales tax)

State Transit Assistance (STA) funds are derived from a portion of sales tax revenues collected from the sale of vehicle fuels. They are allocated from three subcategories:

- i) Population Formula Funds go to local operators for transit projects.
- ii) Regional Paratransit Funds are available from MTC for paratransit services by Sonoma County Transit (SCT), Santa Rosa CityBus, and Petaluma Transit.
- iii) Revenue Based Funds are allocated to operators eligible for TDA Article 4: SCT, Santa Rosa CityBus, and Petaluma Transit.

Measure M revenues are also derived from sales tax receipts (one quarter of 1%) with bus transit receiving 10% of the incoming revenue. Measure M is administered by SCTA. Measure M funds are generally used by local operators to support fixed-route and paratransit operations.

The revenue streams from all of these sources are affected by changes in the economy, as well as changes in legislation and programs; thus operators must deal with funding instability as a constant condition.

Population is another variable since it is used as a method of distribution of funding. From the 06/07 Coordinated Claim until now, the Sonoma County Transit service area population percentage (i.e., 100% minus Santa Rosa & Petaluma) has decreased from 55.44% to 53.32%; Santa Rosa has risen from 32.74% to 34.68%; Petaluma has increased from 11.82% to 11.99%, of total county population.

What is the Coordinated Claim?

The Coordinated Claim documents existing inter-jurisdictional funding agreements for transit services in Sonoma County as they relate to the distribution of TDA, STA and Measure M funds. The process of developing the Coordinated Claim each year is the primary mechanism for establishing contribution amounts for services provided by transit operators to local jurisdictions.

The funding amounts displayed in the Coordinated Claim are estimates based on the latest fund estimates prepared by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the County Auditor's office. While the fund estimates are subject to fluctuation over the course of the fiscal year, the Coordinated Claim serves as the basis from which transit operators base their annual TDA & STA funding requests to MTC. Generally transit operators make their annual claims to MTC in May and June, so that funding is received prior to the start of the new fiscal year.

Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Funding Coordination:

In general, most jurisdictions provide TDA funding to Sonoma County Transit for a variety of contract transit services. For a complete listing of all contracted services, please refer to the Coordinated Claim.

Based on a contribution formula established in fiscal year (FY) 1996/1997, all Sonoma County entities contribute to Golden Gate Transit (GGT). Collectively, as required by MTC resolution 2858, these contributions provide an amount equal to 25% of Sonoma County's fiscal year TDA funds.

In order to fund complementary paratransit services required by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, each entity that contracts with Sonoma County Transit contributes an additional 20% of their fixed-route contributions to support ADA required paratransit services. Currently, Sonoma County Transit contracts with Volunteer Wheels to provide its ADA paratransit service. Petaluma Transit and Santa Rosa CityBus contract with MV Transportation to provide paratransit services within their respective service areas.

TDA funds can also be used for non-transit purposes if MTC makes a finding that "all transit needs which are reasonable to meet are being met" in those jurisdictions claiming funds for other purposes. Recognizing the increased need for paratransit services and continuing unmet transit needs, the SCTA determined that use of TDA funds for non-transit purposes be phased out by 2002 (Resolution 2001-008).

Fiscal Impacts:

The combined total from the three funding sources is estimated at \$25,007,423.

Requested Action:

The Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee (TPCC) is requested to review and make a recommendation to the SCTA Board for approval of the attached FY14/15 Draft Coordinated Claim.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee review and make a recommendation for approval to the SCTA Board.

FY 2014-15 TDA / STA / Measure M - Fund Summary

1.9

	TDA**		STA**		MEASURE M		Total TDA / STA / MEASURE M	
Forecasted Revenue:	\$21,210,000	100.00%	\$2,867,639		\$2,110,395	100.00%	\$26,188,034	100.00%
MTC Contributions:	742,350	3.50%	-----		-----		742,350	2.83%
County Administration (Auditor)	29,500	0.14%	-----		-----		29,500	0.11%
Article 3 - Bicycle & Pedestrian Projects	408,763	1.93%	-----		-----		408,763	1.56%
FY 2014-15 Funds to Transit Operators	\$20,029,387	94.43%	\$2,867,639	100.00%	\$2,110,395	100.00%	\$25,007,421	95.49%
Petaluma Transit	1,468,119	7.33%	277,091	9.66%	253,046	11.99%	1,998,256	7.99%
Santa Rosa CityBus	5,294,006	26.43%	1,001,618	34.93%	731,947	34.68%	7,027,570	28.10%
Sonoma County Transit*	8,259,917	41.24%	1,539,807	53.70%	1,125,402	53.33%	10,925,126	43.69%
Golden Gate Transit	5,007,347	25.00%	49,123	1.71%	----	----	5,056,470	20.22%
Totals by Funding Source	\$20,029,389	100.00%	\$2,867,639	100.00%	\$2,110,395	100.00%	\$25,007,423	100.00%
% by Funding Source	80.09%		11.47%		8.44%		100.00%	

* includes support for Cloverdale Transit local service, Healdsburg Transit local service and Mendocino Transit Authority coast service.

** Does not include prior-year funds available for allocation. See page 4 of the TDA Claim and page 1 of the STA claim for projected prior-year funds available by operator.

I. TDA Funds Available

1.9

TDA Forecast	FY 2014-15	SCTA Adopted Coordinated Claim Distribution
		FY 2013-14
	\$21,210,000	\$19,510,000
Less: MTC Administration	106,050	97,550
MTC Planning	636,300	585,300
County Administration	29,500	15,000
Subtotal:	20,438,150	18,812,150
Less: Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Funding (2.0%)	408,763	376,243
Total: Article 4 and 8 available	\$20,029,387	\$18,435,907

Adopted FY 2014 TDA Estimate:	\$19,510,000
Revised FY 2014 TDA Estimate (1-2014):	\$20,200,000
Auditor's FY 2015 Estimate:	\$21,210,000

% Change- FY 2014 Adopted Estimate vs. FY 2015 Auditor's Estimate:	8.71%
---	-------

II. Distribution of TDA Funds

A. Distribution by Apportionment Area

Area	Population*	Percent	FY 2014-15 Apportionment	FY 2013-14 Apportionment	Difference
Cloverdale	8,669	1.7677%	\$354,051	\$326,653	\$27,398
Cotati	7,310	1.4905%	298,548	275,435	23,113
Healdsburg	11,509	2.3467%	470,040	433,139	36,901
Petaluma	58,804	11.9905%	2,401,617	2,201,849	199,768
Rohnert Park	41,034	8.3671%	1,675,871	1,546,234	129,637
Santa Rosa	170,093	34.6829%	6,946,776	6,391,513	555,263
Sebastopol	7,445	1.5181%	304,062	280,318	23,744
Sonoma	10,731	2.1881%	438,265	403,726	34,539
Windsor	27,132	5.5324%	1,108,099	1,022,204	85,895
County	147,696	30.1160%	6,032,059	5,554,837	477,222
Total	490,423	100.0000%	\$20,029,387	\$18,435,908	\$1,593,479

* California Department of Finance - January 1, 2013 - Based on published population by entity - Table E-1.

B. Funds allocated to Golden Gate Transit for regional transit services:

Area	Distribution	FY 2014-15 Allocation	FY 2013-14 Allocation
Cloverdale	10.0069%	\$35,430	\$32,684
Cotati	28.8527%	86,139	79,492
Healdsburg	10.0069%	47,037	43,338
Petaluma	28.8527%	692,931	635,464
Rohnert Park	28.8527%	483,534	446,250
Santa Rosa	25.0000%	1,736,694	1,597,878
Sebastopol	10.0069%	30,427	28,047
Sonoma	10.0069%	43,857	40,395
Windsor	10.0069%	110,887	102,278
County	28.8527%	1,740,412	1,603,151
Total		\$5,007,347	\$4,608,977
		25.00%	of County TDA

**C. Contribution to Sonoma County Transit for intercity services between Petaluma and Santa Rosa:
 (Sonoma County Transit Routes 44 & 48)**

Area	FY 2014-15		
	Percent of Route Budget*	Fixed-Route Allocation	Paratransit Allocation**
Petaluma	14.64%	\$200,472 (1)	\$40,094

* Cotati and Rohnert Park contributions to Sonoma County Transit Routes 44 & 48 are included in Section D.

(1) - includes contribution for maintenance of the Petaluma Transit Mall.

** Assumes 20% of fixed-route contribution for ADA complementary paratransit services.

D. Funds allocated to support intercity Sonoma County Transit/Paratransit services:

Area	FY 2013-14			FY 2014-15			
	Total Contribution	80% Fixed Route	20% Paratransit	Percent of TDA	Total Contribution	80% Fixed Route	20% Paratransit
Cotati	\$155,812	\$124,650	\$31,162	Remainder	\$168,784	\$135,027	\$33,757
Sebastopol	122,735	98,188	24,547	Remainder	132,816	106,253	26,563
Healdsburg	243,182	194,546	48,636	Remainder*	263,614	210,891	52,723
Cloverdale	200,052	160,042	40,010	Remainder*	216,524	173,219	43,305
Windsor	657,902	526,322	131,580	Remainder	712,365	569,892	142,473
Rohnert Park	412,688	330,150	82,538	Remainder	445,178	356,142	89,036
Sonoma	172,548	138,038	34,510	Remainder	187,009	149,607	37,402
Total	\$1,964,919	\$1,571,935	\$392,984		\$2,126,290	\$1,701,032	\$425,258

* Remaining TDA funds less support for City operated transit services.

E. Other contract services between apportionment areas:

Area	FY 2014-15 Contribution	Claiming Agency	Purpose	FY 2013-14 Contribution
Cloverdale	\$102,097	County	Cloverdale Transit local service (reimbursement)	\$93,917
County				
County	83,924	Santa Rosa	Support for Santa Rosa Transit Mall Operations	77,200
Cotati Total FR * PT	43,625	County		40,130
Cotati*	34,900	County	Local Routes 10 & 11	32,104
Cotati**	8,725	County	Local Paratransit Contribution**	8,026
Healdsburg	159,390	County	Healdsburg Transit local service (reimbursement)	146,619
Rohnert Park FR & PT Total	747,159	County		687,296
Rohnert Park*	597,728	County	Local Routes 10,11,12,14	549,837
Rohnert Park**	149,432	County	Local Paratransit Contribution**	137,459
Sebastopol FR & PT Total	140,819	County		129,536
Sebastopol*	112,655	County	Local Route 24	103,629
Sebastopol**	28,163	County	Local Paratransit Contribution**	25,907
Sonoma FR & PT Total	207,399	County		190,782
Sonoma*	165,920	County	Local Routes 32 & 34	152,626
Sonoma**	41,479	County	Local Paratransit Contribution**	38,156
Windsor FR & PT Total	284,847	County		262,025
Windsor*	227,878	County	Local Route 66 ***	209,620
Windsor**	56,969	County	Local Paratransit Contribution**	52,405

* Contributions assume a 8.71% change over FY 2013-14 (from page 1).

** Assumes 20% of fixed-route contribution for ADA complementary paratransit services.

*** Includes contribution for maintenance of the Windsor Intermodal Facility.

F. Paratransit Contributions

See paratransit contributions detailed in Section II, C,D,E and summarized in Section III column F.

Prior-Year Revenue Adjustment*

*Prior year revenue adjustments are reflected in "Prior Year TDA Funds Available" on page 4. Balances are in accordance with MTC's Draft Regional Fund Estimate dated February 26, 2014.

IV. Distribution of TDA Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Funds

Area	FY 2014-15		Current-Year Funds Available
	Population	Apportionment	
Cloverdale	1.7677%	\$6,695	\$6,695
Cotati	1.4905%	5,646	5,646
Healdsburg	2.3467%	8,889	8,889
Petaluma	11.9905%	45,415	45,415
Rohnert Park	8.3671%	31,691	31,691
Santa Rosa	34.6829%	131,366	131,366
Sebastopol	1.5181%	5,750	5,750
Sonoma	2.1881%	8,288	8,288
Windsor	5.5324%	20,955	20,955
County	30.1160%	114,068	114,068
Total	100.0000%	\$378,763	\$378,763

Article 3 Summary

Projected FY 2014-15 Apportionment	\$408,763
SCTA Claim for Countywide Automated Counter Project	30,000
FY 2014-15 Article 3 Funds Available for Distribution	378,763
Projected Prior-Year Fund Balance*	815,339
Total Article 3 Funds Available	\$1,194,102

* Per MTC Draft Regional Fund Estimate 2-26-14.

Article 3 claimants should verify their prior-year balance with SCTA prior to submitting a claim to MTC.

III. Summary of TDA Fund Activity

1.9

Agency	A. FY 2014-15 TDA Apportionment	B. FY 2014-15 Contributions to GGT	C/D. FY 2014-15 Contributions to SCT	E. +/- FY 2014-15 Contract Services	F. FY 2014-15 Contributions to SC Paratransit	Projected FY 2014-15 TDA Entitlement	Projected Prior-Year TDA Funds Available*	Projected Total TDA Funds Available
Cloverdale	\$354,051	(\$35,430)	(\$173,219)	(\$102,097)	(\$43,305)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cotati	298,548	(86,139)	(135,027)	(34,900)	(42,482)	0	0	0
Healdsburg	470,040	(47,037)	(370,281)	----	(52,723)	0	0	0
Petaluma	2,401,617	(692,931)	(200,472)	----	(40,094)	1,468,119	482,630	1,950,749
Rohnert Park	1,675,871	(483,534)	(356,142)	(597,728)	(238,467)	0	0	0
Santa Rosa	6,946,776	(1,736,694)	----	83,924	----	5,294,006	2,253,230	7,547,236
Sebastopol	304,062	(30,427)	(106,253)	(112,655)	(54,727)	0	0	0
Sonoma	438,265	(43,857)	(149,607)	(165,920)	(78,881)	0	0	0
Windsor	1,108,099	(110,887)	(569,892)	(227,878)	(199,442)	0	0	0
County	6,032,059	(1,740,412)	2,060,894	1,157,254	750,122	8,259,917	4,242,245	12,502,162
GGT	----	5,007,347	--	--	--	5,007,347	162,204	5,169,551
Total	\$20,029,387					\$20,029,387	\$7,140,309	\$27,169,697

* From MTC's Regional Fund Estimate dated 2-26-14. Claimants should confirm prior-year balances with MTC prior to submitting a claim for prior-year funds.

Measure M Transit Distribution

FY 2014-15	TDA Forecast	\$21,210,000
Measure M Adjustment Factor:		99.5000%

FY 2014-15	Measure M Forecast	\$21,103,950
------------	--------------------	--------------

Measure M Distribution to Transit (19%)	\$4,009,751
- Distribution for Bicycle & Pedestrian Projects (4%)	844,158
- Distribution to SMART (5%)	1,055,198
- Distribution to Transit Operators (10%)	2,110,395

Distribution to Transit Operators based on TDA Population Percentages

	Distribution	
Petaluma Transit	11.9905%	253,046
Santa Rosa CityBus	34.6829%	731,947
Sonoma County Transit	53.3266%	1,125,402
Total	100.0000%	\$2,110,395

STA Coordinated Claim

per MTC estimate dated 02-26-14

Updated 03-20-14

TRANSIT TAC DRAFT - 03-20-14

STA

Projected FY 2015 STA Funds Available for Allocation - By Operator

1.2

Entity	Distribution				Total FY 2015 Funds Available for Allocation
	Population-Based	Regional Paratransit	Revenue-Based		
Petaluma Transit	\$198,629	\$53,010	\$25,452		\$277,091
Santa Rosa CityBus	718,178	153,334	130,105		1,001,618
Sonoma County Transit	1,153,890	235,758	150,158		1,539,807
Golden Gate Transit	---	49,123	---		49,123
Total	\$2,070,698	\$491,225	\$305,715		\$2,867,638

Distribution of Prior-Year Funds Available for Allocation - By Operator

Entity	Distribution				Total Prior-Year Funds Available for Allocation
	Population-Based	Regional Paratransit	Revenue-Based		
Petaluma Transit	\$1,979	\$0	\$58,835		\$60,814
Santa Rosa CityBus	5,746	0	124,917		130,663
Sonoma County Transit	8,852	0	18,849		27,701
Golden Gate Transit	---	0	---		0
Total	\$16,577	\$0	\$202,601		\$219,178

Projected FY 2015 STA Revenue Estimate

1.2

Operator	Population*	% Population	Distribution			
			Population-Based	Regional Paratransit	Revenue-Based	Total
Petaluma Transit	58,804	11.9905%	\$248,286	\$58,900	\$25,452	\$332,638
Santa Rosa CityBus	170,093	34.6829%	718,178	170,371	130,105	1,018,655
Sonoma County Transit	261,526	53.3266%	1,104,233	261,954	150,158	1,516,345
Golden Gate Transit	---	---	---	---	---	---
Total	490,423	100.0000%	\$2,070,698	\$491,225	\$305,715	\$2,867,638

STA Population-Based Fund Summary

		Total	Distribution by Operator		
			Petaluma Transit	Santa Rosa CityBus	Sonoma County Transit
FY 15	Funds Available	\$2,070,698	\$248,286	\$718,178	\$1,104,233
FY 15	Allocation to Sonoma County Transit		(49,657)	---	49,657
FY 15	Total Funds Available	\$2,070,698	\$198,629	\$718,178	\$1,153,890

FY 2015 Multi-Jurisdictional STA Project List (Population-Based Funds)

Claimant		Total	Distribution by Operator		
			Petaluma Transit	Santa Rosa CityBus	Sonoma County Transit
ADA Implementation	SCT	\$49,657	\$49,657	---	\$49,657
Total		\$49,657	\$49,657	---	\$49,657

STA Regional Paratransit Fund Summary

Claimant		Total	Distribution by Operator		
			Petaluma Transit	Santa Rosa CityBus	Sonoma County Transit
FY 15	Funds Available	\$491,225	\$58,900	\$170,371	\$261,954
FY 15	Regional ADA Support	GGT (49,123)	(5,890)	(17,037)	(26,195)
FY 15	Total Funds Available	\$442,103	\$53,010	\$153,334	\$235,758