

Planning Advisory Committee

Thursday, January 17, 2019 – 9:00 a.m.

SCTA Large Conference Room

*******411 King Street – NEW LOCATION*******

Santa Rosa, California 95404

Meeting Call-In: 707-565-7154

Access Code: 993 186 975

ITEM

1. Introductions
2. Administrative
 - 2.1. Approval of the agenda – changes, additional discussion items- (ACTION)
 - 2.2. Review Meeting Notes from October 25, 2018 * – (ACTION)
 - 2.3. Election of Chair – (ACTION)
 - 2.4. SCTA Planning Activities update* (INFORMATION)
 - 2.5. SCTA meeting schedule* (INFORMATION)
3. Updates from group - DISCUSSION
4. Planning Data Collection and Travel Model – (DISCUSSION)
 - 4.1. SB743 Update - CEQA implementation adopted and final technical advisory released in Jan. 2018*
 - 4.2. Travel Behavior Study*
 - 4.3. Data
 - 4.3.1.SCTA Data Dashboard –datasets and audiences*
 - 4.3.2.Data collection coordination with MTC/ABAG
5. MTC/ABAG Horizon and Plan Bay Area presentation update – (DISCUSSION)
 - 5.1. Overall timeline
6. Items of Interest -Urban Greening Grant Program, accepting applications through February 28, 2019, <http://resources.ca.gov/grants/urban-greening/>
7. Other Business /Next agenda
8. Public Comment
9. Adjourn -(ACTION)

*Attachment

** Materials will be handed out at meeting

The next **SCTA** meeting will be **February 4, 2019**

The next **PAC** meeting will February 21, 2019

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability that requires the agenda materials to be in an alternate format or that requires an interpreter or other person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact SCTA/RCPA at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

SB 343 DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OPEN SESSION AGENDAS: Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the Planning Advisory Committee after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the Sonoma County Transportation Authority office at 490 Mendocino Ave., Suite 206, during normal business hours.

Pagers, cellular telephones and all other communication devices should be turned off during the committee meeting to avoid electrical interference with the sound recording system. TO REDUCE GHG EMISSIONS: Please consider carpooling or taking transit to this meeting. For more information check www.511.org, www.srcity.org/citybus or www.sctransit.com



We have moved locations since last meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee.

Our location is now: 411 King Street, Santa Rosa, 95404.

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING NOTES

Meeting Notes of October 25, 2018

ITEM

1. Introductions

Meeting called to order at 9:03 a.m. by Vicki Parker.

Committee Members: Vicki Parker, Chair, City of Cotati; Amy Lyle, County of Sonoma, Permit Sonoma; Kyle Rabellino, County of Sonoma, Permit Sonoma; Nancy Adams, City of Santa Rosa; Eric Gage, City of Santa Rosa; Scott Duiven, City of Healdsburg; David Storer, City of Sonoma; Milan Nevajda, M-Group/City of Petaluma; Kari Svanstrom, City of Sebastopol; Libby Payan, SMART(via phone).

Guests: Ada Chan, MTC/ABAG; Vikrant Sood, MTC/ABAG (via phone); Steve Birdlebough, Sonoma County Transpiration and Land Use Coalition; Bob Anderson.

Staff: Chris Barney; Dana Turrey; Tanya Narath; Brant Arthur; Drew Nichols.

2. Administrative

2.1. Approval of the agenda

It was requested to discuss Item 7.1 ahead of Item 3. The committee agreed to change in the agenda order.

2.2. Review meeting notes from August 16, 2018

Approved as submitted.

2.3. SCTA Planning Activities update

This is attached for the committee’s information.

3. Update from group

City of Cotati:

Vicki Parker updated the committee on the Hard Rock hotel development.

City of Healdsburg:

Scott Duiven spoke on the two completed hotels in Healdsburg, two affordable housing projects which are almost completed, and inclusionary policies being discussed by the city council.

City of Petaluma:

Milan Nevajda spoke on the ongoing housing projects in Petaluma. The City Council has recently passed an inclusionary housing update, which includes an increase of fees to align more with the region.

In regards to the General Plan 2025 update, Petaluma City Council has started the discussions of the timeline, and expect to kick off the update with VMT threshold setting. Coordination may begin earlier than normal.

City of Santa Rosa:

Eric Gage spoke on the recent Santa Rosa City Council study session for the Climate Action Plan. The RCPA Greenhouse Gas Inventory update was showcased as a tool to illustrate what can be changed and provide information on including electrification and solar electric.

City of Sebastopol:

Kari Svanstrom reported on recently adopted ordinances that include exclusions and provisions for new JADUs with an effective date of November

15th, and also announced a housing fair with Supervisor Hopkins.

Lastly, Ms. Svanstrom solicited information from the committee on previous work and experiences on telecommunication projects.

City of Sonoma:

David Storer introduced himself to the committee as the new Planning Director for the City of Sonoma and briefly spoke on the cannabis, wine tasting room, and cell tower policies that are currently under discussion by the council.

County of Sonoma, Permit Sonoma:

Amy Lyle reported on consultant work and employment turnover.

Media coverage has been responsive to the housing ordinances. A restriction of vacation rentals in the burn zones have been adopted and are set to expire with other zoning ordinances by the end of 2019.

Ms. Lyle further reported on the work on specific plans, such as scoping which has begun for the *Springs' Specific Plan*. The *Airport Area Specific Plan* has been placed on hold due to work on wildfire recovery efforts. The *Coastal Plan* is anticipated to begin in January.

Lastly, work will formally kick off in early 2019 on the *Sonoma County General Plan*. A study session with the Board of Supervisors is scheduled for December to study the scope and to solicit the ideas the Supervisors wish to see.

Ms. Lyle further spoke on the ADU calculator, and the interest for the committee to speak about the process and reporting elements.

4. Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study – Update and Draft Schedule

Chris Barney provided a brief update on the Travel Behavior Study and SB 743 support items.

The schedule has been updated to reflect a deadline for contributions for the project. Staff requested that jurisdictions get local contributions to SCTA so that work on the project can begin in January of 2019. The project will include support to the travel model for weekend/tourism travel.

Regarding SB 743 support, a list of deliverables is needed and a few items proposed are included in the agenda packet, including a possible project level VMT calculator, white paper providing guidance and recommendations on setting VMT thresholds, an implementation toolkit, and VMT screening maps.

Mr. Barney requested that the committee provide ideas of what they would like to see by December

5. Caltrans Planning Grant – application by SCTA/DHS for Vision Zero

Chris Barney announced the plans for a combined application with Sonoma County Department of Health Services for the Vision Zero concept to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety countywide. The SCTA component will include the construction of a data dashboard for all users to access interactive health, transportation, and safety data.

6. Planning Data Collection and Coordination

6.1. General Plan Buildout update

Chris Barney summarized the updated general plan build out figures and requested that the committee review the documents for accuracy.

7. MTC/ABAG Horizon and Plan Bay Area Presentation update

7.1. CASA Compact overview

Vikrant Sood, MTC/ABAG, provided an update to the committee on the CASA Compact.

The discussion focused on the trajectory of CASA Compact and it was stated that strategies 10-12 are expected to be finalized by December, in which four to five strategies will be the main focus in 2019. The timeline of the entire process remains to be determined.

The concept of shared responsibility and shared inclusion are primary goals of the compact; although, the compact itself calls for streamlining with an attention that housing might have a significant minority and low income population.

The CASA Compact aims to provide resources to communities to look at the type of developments wished to witness.

Vicki Parker spoke on a presentation to the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors regarding the same topic and added to the discussion the wish for regional level provisions.

Ada Chan spoke on the attention paid to how this plays out in different parts of the region.

Ms. Parker further spoke on the challenge of inclusionary housing with density bonus policies, stating that inclusionary housing cannot be imposed with density bonuses. This combination would remove inclusionary housing.

In regards to Element 13 – Cap Mitigation Impact Fees to Control Fees Evenly in Region, Ms. Parker suggested that fees cannot be developed arbitrarily.

Mr. Vikrant Sood responded that reasonable costs would be associated with this.

Kari Svanstrom added that when the State legislates a mitigation fee, justification is needed.

Eric Gage wondered if it is it fair to say impact fees should be uniform to adjacent jurisdictions, or to specific jurisdictions.

Nancy Adams expressed encouragement of the general direction, stating that one size does not fit all.

Mr. Vikrant Sood asked the committee of what would like to be seen in the CASA compact, including anything missing that is valuable to Sonoma County jurisdictions and if what is already included is reflective of these interests.

Ms. Parker suggested the addition of an infrastructure element.

Ms. Adams added that the infrastructure piece is lacking and should be more pronounced.

Ms. Svanstrom spoke on Element 10, Remove Regulatory Barriers to ADUs and Tiny Houses, and the stability in the ADU ordinances.

Ada Chan further spoke the challenges experienced in San Francisco.

Steve Birdleough commented on the conversations of housing projects not coming to fruition because too much parking is required and asked if the CASA Compact addresses this at all.

Mr. Vikrant Sood responded that parking should have been included, as parking and impact fees.

Mr. Birdleough further added the importance of good transit which would reduce parking and asked where public transit is mentioned in this.

Mr. Vikrant Sood responded that that remains an open question, added that some questions are centered on where most ADUs are being built.

Mr. Gage expressed an interest in learning more about Compact Element 4, Amendments to State Housing Law/RHNA.

Scott Duiven spoke on the focus of implementation and on funding.

Mr. Birdlebough also added the necessity to discuss the impacts of VBROs and Bed & Breakfasts.

Ms. Chan lastly added that part of the conversation needed is, “What do you need to make this move forward?”

7.2. Horizon Perspective Paper #3

This item was discussed concurrently with Item 7.1 A meeting with HDC was tabled and discussed.

Ada Chan further added that this would stimulate thinking about growth in the region, such as the growth of PDAs.

Steve Birdlebough commented on the idea of PDA Plus, empty big box stores that could house people and wondered where it would fit in with the CASA Compact discussion.

8. Micro-Mobility Use Cases and Regulation – Presentation and Discussion

This item is informational and was tabled to be discussed in the future.

9. Items of Interest – Accelerating Infill in Santa Rosa & Sonoma County by Council of Infill Builders*

Included in the agenda packet for the committee’s interest.

10. Other Business/Next Agenda

N//A

11. Public Comment

N/A

12. Adjourn

The committee adjourned at 11:14 a.m.

Staff Report

To: Sonoma County Transportation Authority
From: Janet Spilman, Director of Planning
Item: Planning Activities Report
Date: February 4, 2019

Issue:

Information only.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND FORECASTING

- **Travel Model Validation** – Staff and consultants continue to make progress on revalidating the Sonoma County Travel Model. Staff have been working with local planners to update traffic analysis zone boundaries where appropriate in order to reflect current and future development trends and patterns. Consultants are reviewing and testing model scripts and code.
- **Modeling and analysis support** – Mapping, modeling, and analytic support has been provided for projects in Santa Rosa and Windsor. Staff is working with local staff and consultants on VMT estimation and reporting techniques.
- **Land use data and analysis** – Staff continues to update general plan build out estimates which are used as part of the travel demand modeling program. SCTA staff is currently processing feedback received from a number of local jurisdictions on general plan build out estimates and is using this feedback to prepare final buildout estimates for local review. Outreach is ongoing and staff continues to work with local planning staff to update and review existing conditions and general plan build out estimates.

PLANS

- **Transit Integration and Efficiency Study** –The consulting team conducted Stakeholder Interviews in late October and is developing technical memorandums with documentation and preliminary evaluation for each topic area. The Steering Committee and consulting team continue to hold bi-weekly project management check-in calls.
- **Plan Bay Area 2050** – Staff is participating the development of *Horizon*, the prelude to PBA 2050, which will launch next year. Staff continues to review materials that will be used to analyze projects and future scenarios as part of MTC’s modeling efforts.

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

- **Safe Routes to School** –Implementation of the 5 year Safe Routes to School program is underway with approval of the contract with Sonoma County Bicycle Coalition in September.
- **Bike Share Pilot Program** –SCTA and TAM are utilizing responses from the Request for Information to develop a draft Request for Proposals. Partner agency staff will be asked to review scope, policy, and vendor evaluation language in the Request for Proposals.

- **Emergency Ride Home Program** – Staff continues to promote awareness of the new countywide Emergency Ride Home Program, which will reimburse rides home in cases of a qualifying emergency for anyone who works in Sonoma County and gets to work using an alternative transportation option, such as carpooling, vanpooling, public transit, bicycling, or walking. Program rules, restrictions, and reimbursement forms are available online. scta.ca.gov/ERH
- **Santa Rosa Car Share Pilot Program** – The average monthly unique users of the two Zipcars in Santa Rosa remains around ten; however, the number of monthly reservations has declined since June. Staff continues to work with Zipcar to raise awareness and promote the program. Santa Rosa residents and employees can take advantage of complementary first-year new Zipcar memberships and members who signed up under the previous year promotion may receive \$35 in driving credit after annual renewal subsidized by the grant. Zipcar.com/SantaRosa

ADMINISTRATION OF ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION FUNDING

- **Transportation for Clean Air Fund, County Program Manager (TFCA, CPM)** – SCTA has completed a routine fiscal audit of the TFCA CPM program. Staff will send out the Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Call for Projects in January or February 2019.

COORDINATION & OUTREACH

Local Activities

- Spare the Air Resources Team – Worked with the Resources Team on outreach to employers on commute programs and website improvements.

Regional Coordination

- MTC/ABAG committees: Regional Advisory Working Group, Regional Modeling Working Group; Transit Finance Working Group, Active Transportation Working Group, Transportation Demand Management Working Group
- CMA Planners meetings
- Bay Area County Transportation Authority meeting
- Bay Area Partnership Board meeting

Policy Impacts:

All activities are consistent with SCTA policy.

Staff Recommendation:

Information only.

**SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY / REGIONAL CLIMATE PROTECTION AUTHORITY
2019 PROPOSED COMMITTEE MEETING DATES**

COMMITTEE	SCTA / RCPA	TAC	CAC	TPCC	CBPAC	TTAC	PAC	RCPACC	RCPA CAAC
FREQUENCY	2nd Monday of the Month	4th Thursday of the Month	Last Monday of the Month	3rd Tuesday of Every Other Month	4th Tuesday of Every Other Month	2nd Wednesday of the Month	3rd Thursday of the Month	3rd Thursday of the Month	2nd Friday of every third Month
TIME	2:30 p.m.	1:30 p.m.	4:00 p.m.	1:30 p.m.	1:30 p.m.	10:00 a.m.	9:00 a.m.	3:00 p.m.	11:30a.m.
MONTH	MEETING DATES								
JAN	None	01/24/19	01/28/19	01/15/19	01/22/19	01/09/19	01/17/19	01/17/19	1/11/2019
FEB	2/4/2019**	02/28/19	02/25/19	None	None	02/13/19	02/21/19	02/21/19	None
MAR	03/11/19	03/28/19	03/25/19	03/19/19	03/26/19	03/13/19	03/21/19	03/21/19	None
APR	04/08/19	04/25/19	04/29/19	None	None	04/10/19	04/18/19	04/18/19	4/12/2019
MAY	05/13/19	05/23/19	None	05/21/19	05/28/19	05/08/19	05/16/19	05/16/19	None
JUN	06/10/19	06/27/19	06/24/19	None	None	06/12/19	06/20/19	06/20/19	None
JUL	07/08/19	07/25/19	07/29/19	07/16/19	07/23/19	07/10/19	07/18/19	07/18/19	7/12/2019
AUG	08/12/19	08/22/19	08/26/19	None	None	08/14/19	08/15/19	08/15/19	None
SEP	09/09/19	09/26/19	09/30/19	09/17/19	09/24/19	09/11/19	09/19/19	09/19/19	None
OCT	10/14/19	10/24/19	10/28/19	None	None	10/09/19	10/17/19	10/17/19	10/11/2019
NOV	11/4/2019**	None	11/25/19	11/19/19	11/26/19	11/13/19	11/21/19	11/21/19	None
DEC	12/09/19	12/5/2019**	None	None	None	12/11/19	12/19/19	12/19/2019	None
MEETING LOCATION	SCTA/RCPA Board Room, 411 King Street, Santa Rosa, CA 95404	SCTA/RCPA Conference Room, 411 King Street, Santa Rosa, CA 95404							

*This is rescheduled from its regular date due to a County holiday.

**This is rescheduled from its regular date due to holidays.

- SCTA/RCPA Sonoma County Transportation Authority / Sonoma County Regional Climate Protection Authority Board of Directors Committee
- TAC SCTA Technical Advisory Committee
- CAC SCTA Citizens Advisory Committee
- TPCC SCTA Transit Paratransit Coordinating Committee
- CBPAC SCTA Countywide Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee
- TTAC SCTA Transit Technical Advisory Committee
- PAC SCTA Planning Advisory Committee
- RCPACC Regional Climate Protection Authority Coordination Committee
- RCPA CAAC Regional Climate Protection Authority Climate Action Advisory Committee

Please note that some meeting dates may have been changed from their regularly scheduled dates due to holidays. Dates also change due to unforeseen circumstances. Changes will be noticed on meeting agendas in advance.

Staff Report

To: Planning Advisory Committee
From: Chris Barney, Senior Transportation Planner
Item: 4.1 SB 743 Update
Date: January 17, 2019

Issue:

What is the status of SB 743 implementation?

Background:

SB 743 directed the California Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to amend CEQA guidelines for analyzing transportation impacts. OPR has recommended using vehicle miles travelled (VMT) to replace level of service (LOS) for measuring transportation impacts in CEQA.

The California Natural Resources Agency certified and adopted the CEQA Guidelines including Senate Bill 743 implementation in December 2018. Information on the CEQA Guidelines update can be accessed on the Natural Resources Agency website:

<http://resources.ca.gov/ceqa/>

OPR has developed a Technical Advisory which provides recommendations on VMT assessment, thresholds of significance, and possible mitigation measures. OPR will update this document based on improvements to modeling and VMT assessment methods. The latest OPR Technical Advisory was released in December 2018 and is available on the OPR website:

http://opr.ca.gov/docs/20181228-743_Technical_Advisory.pdf

Policy Impacts:

Delay or LOS was the primary metric for measuring transportation impacts in CEQA previously. SB 743 has changed how transportation impacts will be analyzed and assessed under CEQA. New guidance requires that SB 743 be implemented by **July 1, 2020**.

Fiscal Impacts:

Additional analysis could be required to estimate VMT impacts of projects as part of the CEQA process. Environmental analysis costs could change based on new requirements.

Staff Recommendation:

Information Item.

Staff Report

To: Planning Advisory Committee

From: Chris Barney, Senior Transportation Planner

Item: 4.2 Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study – Status and Feedback Requested

Date: 1/17/2019

Issue:

What is the status of the Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study? What kinds of input and feedback have project consultants (Fehr & Peers) requested that will guide their work on the study and associated data collection efforts?

Background:

SCTA is conducting a countywide travel behavior study focused primarily on the collection and analysis of “big data” including mobile-source/cell phone data, GPS location data, and travel data to gather information on travel flows, average trip lengths (miles and minutes), travel modes, major destinations, trip purposes, travel peaks, etc. Deliverables for this project will include a final report summarizing travel flows, trends, and behavior for Sonoma County jurisdictions along with background data and graphics that can be used to support local general plan updates, provide information useful in the development of station area and area specific plans, and to support other local planning and engineering activities. As part of this project, SCTA will be making a number of improvements to the Sonoma County Travel Model and a portion of the budget will focus on providing local support for SB743 implementation. These improvements will be based on data collected as part of the travel behavior study.

Study Area:

The project study area is Sonoma County and Sonoma County jurisdictions along with neighboring counties and other external locations. Fehr & Peers has recommended that more detailed origin and destination and travel data should be collected within Sonoma County and that simplified travel information be collected for external locations. Possible external travel locations that will be examined include:

- Mendocino/Lake Counties
- Napa/Solano Counties
- Marin County
- San Francisco/Peninsula
- East Bay
- San Jose
- Sacramento

Please identify important locations within or outside of your jurisdiction that represent important travel origins or destinations. These could be identified specifically as part of the travel behavior study. Examples include major business parks or employment locations (Airport Business Park, Downtown Santa Rosa, etc), major commercial/retail hubs, major visitor locations, large schools and universities, and other important travel destinations. Fehr and Peers is still investigating the number of zones we will be able to use to summarize origins and destinations in this study, but they will be able to identify at least a few specific areas for more detailed analysis within each jurisdiction.

Generalized locations and descriptions can be provided or you can identify specific traffic analysis zones or groups of traffic analysis zones that represent these importation locations. SCTA maintains an online TAZ viewer that you can use to identify TAZ locations in Sonoma County (link provided below) that represent importation locations in your jurisdiction.

[Online Sonoma County Travel Model Traffic Analysis Zone viewer:](http://arcg.is/1mKKeW)

<http://arcg.is/1mKKeW>

Study Period:

Fehr and Peers have recommended that data from late Spring/early Summer 2017 be used to develop the travel behavior study. This time span will allow travel behavior to be compared for time periods when school is in and is not in session. Data for 2017 also represents a compromise between the updated travel model base year of 2015 and using more recent data, and represents pre-fire travel conditions in the county. Fehr & Peers have proposed gathering simplified data from 2018 in order to provide some information and analysis of post-fire travel conditions.

Travel Topics and Questions:

Project consultants can customize data gathering and analytic work based on topics and questions that we would like to address. **Please identify specific questions or topics that the travel behavior study and associated data collection could help answer.** Possible topics or questions could include:

- How much pass-through travel is moving through my jurisdiction?
- How much in and out commuting is occurring in my jurisdiction?
- How much visitor travel is entering my jurisdiction?
- How many people from neighboring counties are visiting my jurisdiction each day?
- Where do people visiting an important site in my jurisdiction travel from?
- How far does an average resident of my jurisdiction travel each day?

SB743 Support Deliverables:

The project scope includes a task focused on providing support with local implementation of SB743 requirements. The scope directed consultants to recommend possible deliverables for this task. SCTA staff recommends that the consultant prepare the following SB 743 deliverables:

- *Project level VMT calculator for Sonoma County* – Adapt the San Jose VMT calculator for use in Sonoma County using information collected as part of the Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study and using information from the Sonoma County Travel Model. *SCTA staff will also need to provide staff support on this item.*
- *White paper providing guidance and recommendations on setting VMT thresholds* – Use information collected as part of the Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study, data from the Sonoma County Travel Model, and other data and resources to provide guidance and recommendations on setting local VMT thresholds in Sonoma County.
- *SB743 Implementation Toolkit* – Develop and deliver a policy toolkit to aid with local SB743 implementation. This toolkit would include model documents that could be adopted at the local level.
- *VMT screening maps* – Develop VMT screening maps for Sonoma County using information collected as part of the Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study and using data provided by the Sonoma County Travel Model. *SCTA staff will need to provide staff support on this deliverable.*

Policy Impacts: The Sonoma County Travel Behavior study will provide information on travel flows, origins and destinations, and travel behavior in Sonoma County, which could influence policy decisions. SB743 requires that local jurisdictions set VMT thresholds and that VMT be used to estimate transportation impacts in CEQA documents.

Fiscal Impacts: Local jurisdictions are contributing to help fund the Sonoma County Travel Behavior Study and consultant support for SB743 implementation. SCTA is funding travel model validation and a portion of the travel behavior study and model improvement projects. The County of Sonoma is contributing additional funds to implement model improvements to support the County’s general plan update.

Staff Recommendation: Consider providing feedback on:

- Important locations in and outside of your jurisdiction which should be considered in the study
- Important travel flow or behavior questions or issues for your jurisdiction that could be addressed by the study
- Time period used for data gathering and analysis
- SB743/VMT support deliverables

Staff requests that feedback be provided by **January 31, 2019** so that this information can be provided to Fehr and Peers as they develop the final data gathering framework for the travel behavior study.

Staff Report

To: Planning Advisory Committee

From: Tanya Narath, SCTA/RCPA Data Specialist

Item: 4.3.1 SCTA/RCPA Data Dashboard – datasets and audiences

Date: January 17, 2019

Issue:

What SCTA/RCPA datasets would be useful for SCTA/RCPA to share through an online data dashboard? Who are the target audiences for these datasets and what access restrictions, if any, should be placed on the datasets?

Background:

SCTA/RCPA staff are consistently developing valuable datasets to support transportation, climate, and other planning activities in Sonoma County and the San Francisco Bay Area Region. Currently, staff share these datasets on an ‘ad hoc’ basis generally attached to specific project needs.

There has been recent interest in accessing and using these datasets more broadly. SCTA/RCPA wants to develop better methods and tools for accessing, displaying, and distributing these datasets to the public, elected officials and decision makers, and planning, transportation, engineering, and climate protection staff. To achieve the goal of providing improved access to its datasets, SCTA/RCPA wants to implement an online Data Dashboard.

SCTA/RCPA staff request inputs from the PAC on the datasets and target audiences for the Data Dashboard. The following list provides examples of the types of data that could be included in the Data Dashboard:

- a. *SCTA/Transportation Data:* SCTA collects, produces, and summarizes a wide variety of transportation related data to support planning activities.
 - i. Current Activity (e.g. traffic counts, VMT, speeds, congestion/delay, mode shares, travel flows and times, transit ridership)
 - ii. Forecasts (Sonoma County Travel Model - volumes, speeds, delays, VMT, etc.)
- b. *Planning/Land Use/Housing:* SCTA collects, produces, and summarizes planning and land use data to support the travel demand modeling program and to support its transportation planning activities. Housing data has been especially in demand in recent years due to the housing shortage in the region and county.
 - i. Existing land use: Estimates of existing on the ground housing and non-housing related land uses.
 - ii. Forecasts: Estimates of future growth based on markets, policy, or plans.

1. Market based/policy based forecasts: market or policy based forecasts of housing and employment. Developed by MTC/ABAG and reallocated/adjusted by SCTA.
 2. General Plan Build Out: estimates of general plan build out. Estimates provided by local jurisdictions and/or calculated by SCTA and reviewed by local staff. Represents potential or capacity, not likely or expected growth.
- c. *RCPA/Climate*: The RCPA produces and collects a variety of climate and adaptation related datasets and resources. A number of these are associated with the climate action plan and climate action plan and reporting.
- i.* GHG Emissions Inventory
 - ii.* Climate Action Plan 2020 Implementation (e.g. mode shifts, energy shift/EVs)
- d. *DHS - Vision Zero Data*: The Sonoma County Department of Health Services wants to develop a Vision Zero Plan and associated data resources to aid in the implementation of this planning. The goal of Vision Zero is to reduce the number of auto/bicycle and pedestrian collisions and injuries and fatalities to zero.
- i.* Collisions data
 - ii.* Bike/Ped Facilities (existing and planned improvements)
 - iii.* Bike/Ped Counts
 - iv.* Walk Scores/Accessibility - map or tabular data summarizing neighborhood level non-motorized accessibility, attractiveness, and safety.

Policy Impacts:

None.

Fiscal Impacts:

None.

Staff Recommendation:

Review and provide feedback on the datasets and target audiences for the SCTA/RCPA Data Dashboard.